UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Farm Service Agency Washington, DC 20250

Payment Eligibility, Payment Limitation, and
Average Adjusted Gross Income Agricultural Act of 2014
5-PL
Amendment 5

Approved by: Acting Deputy Administrator, Farm Programs

Clean Olevil

Amendment Transmittal

A Reason for Amendment

Subparagraph 1 B has been amended with relevant handbooks related to payment limitation and payment eligibility.

Subparagraph 2 B has been amended with relevant language to the CFR.

Subparagraph 17 A has been amended with payment limitations applicable to LIP and TAP in accordance with the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018.

Subparagraph 260 A has been amended to update item 2 to indicate that the (Notification of interests and disclosure requirements in paragraphs 137 through 140 apply to all trusts and all beneficiaries of trusts).

Subparagraph 305 D has been amended to add the requirement to send a copy of the returned CCC-941 and IRS rejection letter to the STO to update the applicable year AGI subsidiary value.

Subparagraph 433 D has been amended to update the example Notification Letter to include contemporaneous records or logs of management activities performed throughout the entire crop year. This is required when a nonfamily joint operation is approved to have more than one member qualify as actively engaged in farming based solely on the contribution of active personal management or a combination of active personal management and active personal labor.

Note: This only applies to 2016 and subsequent year End-of-Year Reviews.

Subparagraph 446 C has been amended to fix a typographical error.

8-2-18 Page 1

Amendment Transmittal (Continued)

A Reasons for Amendment (Continued)

Subparagraph 459 F has been amended to update:

- CCC-900-2 to include contemporaneous records or logs of management activities as required documentation item 5.
- CCC-900-3 to add test questions for documenting the determinations of additional managers for the nonfamily member joint operations.
- CCC-900-4 to document the determination of additional managers for the nonfamily member joint operations.
- CCC-900-5 to document the determination of additional managers for the nonfamily joint operations.

	Page Control Chart	
TC	Text	Exhibit
	1-1, 1-2	
	2-1 through 2-24	
	4-233, 4-234	
	6-41, 6-42	
	8-5, 8-6	
	8-33, through 8-54	
	8-61 through 8-100	

Part 1 Introduction

1 Handbook Purpose and References

A Purpose

This handbook provides instructions and uniform methods to:

- apply direct attribution for payment limitation purposes
- determine payment eligibility for each program participant.

The provisions of this handbook apply to various programs administered by State and County Offices for 2014 and subsequent crop years, program years, and FY's.

B Related Handbooks

This table lists *** handbooks related to payment eligibility and payment limitation.

*__

IF the questions or concern is about	THEN see
acreage and compliance determinations	2-CP.
program appeals, mediation, and litigation	1-APP.
audits and investigations	9-AO.
common land units	8-CM.
common management and operating provisions	1-CM.
cotton price support payments	7-CN.
conservation reserve program	1-CRP and 2-CRP.
debts, receivables, and claims	58-FI.
reporting payment and financial data to the IRS	62-FI
establishing and reporting receipts and receivables	64-FI
directives management	1-AS.
emergency conservation program	1-ECP.
emergency forest restoration program*	1-EFRP.
farm, tract, and crop data	3-CM.
finality rule and equitable relief	7-CP.
grain and oilseeds price support programs	2-LP Grains and Oilseeds.

1 Handbook Purpose and References (Continued)

B Related Handbooks (Continued)

*__

IF the questions or concern is about	THEN see
livestock disaster assistance programs for 2011 and	1-LDAP (Rev. 1).
subsequent years	
marketing assistance, loan, and loan deficiency payments	8-LP.
margin protection program for dairy	1-MPP.
noninsured crop disaster assistance program	1-NAP.
payment eligibility and payment limitation for 2009 through	4-PL.
2013	
peanut price support programs	2-LP Peanuts.
quality control	1-COR.
record operations	32-AS.
special programs	1-SP.
State and County organization and administration	16-AO.
Tree assistance program	1-TAP (Rev. 4).
web-based subsidiary files	3-PL (Rev. 2).
customer data management, business partner	11-CM.
agriculture risk coverage and price loss coverage	1-ARCPLC.
enterprise data warehouse	12-CM.

_*

2 Sources of Authority

A Statutory Reference

Authority for administering payment eligibility and payment limitation provisions is provided by Food Security Act of 1985, Sections 1001, 1001A, 1001B, 1001C, and 1001D, as amended.

B Regulatory Reference

Authority for administering payment eligibility and payment limitation provisions is *--provided by 7 CFR Part 1400. References from CFR are provided throughout the handbook.--*

Part 2 General Provisions

Section 1 Program Availability

15 Applicable Programs

A General Applicability

[7 CFR 1400.1 (a) and (b)] This table provides the rules in this handbook that apply to *--USDA-administered programs. See Exhibits 5 and 6.--*

		Appli	cable Rules		
Program or Payment	Actively Engaged in Farming	Cash-Rent Tenant	Direct Attribution	Foreign Person	AGI
Conservation Programs	-				
CRP			X	X	X
ECP			X		X <u>1</u> /
EFRP			X		X <u>1</u> /
EQIP			X		X
Commodity Programs					
ARC and PLC	X	X	X	X	X
Cotton Transition	X	X	X	X	X
Disaster Assistance Progr	rams				
ELAP			X		X
LFP			X		X
LIP			X		X
NAP			X		X
TAP			X		X
Price Support Programs					
LDP's	*X	X	X	X	X
Loans				X	
MLG's	X	X*	X	X	X
MPP				X	
Other Programs					
AMA			X		X
TAAF			X		X

Note: Foreign persons are ineligible for payment under certain programs. The procedure for these programs reference the definition of "foreign person" provided in Part 3. However, the foreign person rule, as provided in Part 3, **only** applies to the programs or payments listed in this table.

 $\underline{\mathbf{1}}/$ Only for certain apportionments that specifically identify AGI as a requirement.

t6 Specific Rules for CRP

A Applicability of this Handbook

The provisions in this handbook apply to participants with CRP-1's approved on or after October 1, 2013.

B Applicability of 1-PL and 4-PL

Persons and legal entities with CRP-1's that are **not** subject to the provisions of this handbook are subject to the provisions of both 1-PL and 4-PL.

17 Payment Limits and Rules

A Person or Legal Entity Payment Limitations

[7 CFR 1400.1] This table contains the annual payment limitations for a person or legal entity for programs that are subject to the provisions of this handbook.

	Annual Limitation, Unless Otherwise Noted,
Program Payment Type	2014 Through 2018
Commodity and Price Support Programs	
ARC, PLC, LDP, and MLG payments for other than peanuts	\$125,000
ARC, PLC, LDP, and MLG payments for peanuts	\$125,000
Transition assistance for producers of upland cotton	\$40,000 <u>1</u> /
Conservation Programs	
AMA	\$50,000 <u>2</u> /
CRP annual rental payment and incentive payment	\$50,000 <u>3</u> /
CSP	\$200,000 4 /
ECP (per disaster event)	\$200,000
EFRP (per disaster event)	\$500,000
EQIP	\$450,000 <u>5</u> /
Disaster Assistance Programs	
ELAP, LFP, ***	\$125,000 6 /
NAP	\$125,000
TAP	* <u>7</u> /*
Other Programs	
TAAF	\$10,000

17 **Payment Limits and Rules (Continued)**

A Person or Legal Entity Payment Limitations (Continued)

- 1/ Transition assistance for producers of upland cotton is only available in the 2014 and 2015 program years.
- 2/ The \$50,000 limitation is the total limit that a participant may receive under the AMA program in any FY.
- 3/ CRP contracts approved before October 1, 2008, may exceed the limitation, subject to payment limitation rules in effect on the date of contract approval.
- 4/ The \$200,000 limitation is the total limit under all CSP contracts entered into subsequent to the enactment of the 2014 Farm Bill during FY's 2014 through 2018.
- 5/ The \$450,000 limitation is the total limit under all EQIP contracts entered into subsequent to the enactment of the 2014 Farm Bill during FY's 2014 through 2018.
- *--6/ Total payments received under ELAP and LFP may not exceed \$125,000. Effective January 1, 2017, the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 removed the \$125,000 payment limitation applicable to LIP.--*

Note: For SURE payments for losses on or before September 30, 2011, the payment limits regulations in effect when those losses occurred apply. The SURE limit is separate from the payment limitation amount applicable to ELAP, LFP, LIP, and TAP benefits authorized under the 2014 Farm Bill.

--7/ Effective January 1, 2017 the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 removed the \$125,000 payment limitation applicable to TAP.--

18-28 (Reserved)

A Rule

[7 CFR 1400.205] An irrevocable or revocable trust shall be considered to be actively engaged in farming if all of the requirements in this table are met.

Item	Requirement
1	The trust separately makes a significant contribution of capital, equipment, land, or a combination thereof.
2	Income beneficiaries that make contributions of active personal labor or active personal management, have a combined interest of at least 50 percent, and collectively make a significant contribution to the farming operation.
	(Notification of interests and disclosure requirements in paragraphs 137 through 140 apply to all trusts and all beneficiaries of trusts)
3	The trust's share of the profits or losses from the farming operation is commensurate with the contribution to the farming operation.
4	The trust's contributions are at risk.
5	The trust has provided TIN of the trust, unless the trust is a revocable trust and either of the following applies:
	• the grantor is the sole income beneficiary
	• TIN for the trust is TIN for the co-granter and co-income beneficiary and the other grantor and income beneficiary is their spouse who is not requesting benefits under his or her TIN.
6	The trust has provided a copy of the trust agreement to COC, unless the trust is a revocable trust.

B TIN's

A single TIN, such as a Social Security number, may **not** be used to pay both a person and a trust in the same year.

Note: See 1-CM, paragraph 121 and Exhibit 10 for EIN requirements for trusts.

C Trustee Actions

The personal actions of a trustee, either labor or management, **cannot** be considered as contributions of the trust in meeting the requirement of actively engaged in farming.

Only the income beneficiaries of a trust can provide the required, at-risk contributions of active personal labor, active personal management, or combination thereof, for the trust to be considered actively engaged in farming.

A Irrevocable Trust Example 1

Situation: EF Trust meets the requirements to be considered an irrevocable trust for payment limitation purposes. The trust, with Persons E and F each having a 50 percent interest, contributes a significant amount of capital to the farming operation. Each beneficiary contributes a significant amount of active personal management. All labor is hired. The land and equipment are leased. The trust's share of the profits or losses from the farming operation is commensurate with the trust's contributions to the operation and the contributions are at risk.

Determination: EF Trust is considered to be actively engaged in farming because the trust provides capital and the beneficiaries contribute a significant amount of active personal management.

B Irrevocable Trust Example 2

Situation: The terms of a late spouse's will made certain specific bequests of cash and nonfarm property to persons other than his or her widow. The balance of the estate, including farmland, is distributed to a testamentary trust. The widow has the sole right to the income of the trust during his or her lifetime. At the time of his or her death, the trust is to be terminated and the property distributed to his or her heirs.

Determination: Because the widow has the sole right to income of the trust during his or her lifetime, the widow is considered the sole beneficiary. The trust is considered actively engaged in farming because of the landowner provision.

305 Reconciliation Process of Participants and CCC-941's

A Reconciliation Report

*--A report will be completed to identify persons or legal entities who have:

- requested program payments subject to the \$900,000 AGI limitation for the year specified, and
- not completed and filed CCC-941.--*

Note: County Offices and NRCS will be provided access or a copy of this report.

B Participants Identified

The recording County Office will provide written notice to persons and legal entities identified on the report in subparagraph A that contains the following:

- the requirement to timely complete and submit the enclosed CCC-941 to maintain payment eligibility for the applicable year
- instruction to indicate the program year, as appropriate
- that completed CCC-941's:
 - are to be mailed to the recording County Office at the return address provided on CCC-941
 - **must** be mailed within 30 calendar days from the date of receipt of the letter
- where and how to obtain additional CCC-941's, if needed.

Note: For an example letter, see subparagraph 311 B.

C Persons and Legal Entities Identified for 2014 and Subsequent Years

For 2014 and subsequent crop, program, and FY's, County Offices are instructed to:

- periodically run the County Eligibility Report for AGI according to 3-PL (Rev. 1), subparagraph 306 I
- select field, "**Not Filed**" to generate a list of every individual and legal entity in the web-based eligibility system, "AGI" section that has not filed CCC-941 for the applicable year, payment eligibility purposes.

For all persons and legal entities identified through the County Eligibility Report for 2014 and subsequent years, follow instructions in subparagraph B.

Note: CCC-941 may be used for average AGI compliance certification and consent to disclosure for a year before 2014, but **only** for the \$900,000 AGI limitation amount. See subparagraph 291 B.

D Notification Exceptions

If CCC-941 was rejected by the IRS for the selected reason of, "No record found on Master file. No return filed for years checked using this TIN/SSN", then:

- do not send a written notification according to subparagraph B
- consider this individual or legal entity as compliant with the average AGI limitations for the current program year
- retain a copy of the IRS rejection letter with the returned CCC-941 in the individual's or legal entity's eligibility file.
- •*--send a copy of the returned CCC-941 and IRS rejection letter to the State Office specialist responsible to update the applicable year AGI subsidiary value as "Mismatch-Verified" under the SED/State Office section of the subsidiary software.--*

433 Producer Notification (Continued)

D Example Notification Letter

This is an example of a letter notifying a producer of being selected for an **end-of-year review**.

[Letterhead]	Any County FSA Office 502 Spotcheck Ave
[Date]	Some City US 55555-1234
Wandering Brook, Inc. Fred Friendly, President 777 Lucky Day Rd Some City US 55555-1234	
Dear Mr. Friendly:	
Your farming operation has been selected for a 20XX payment end-of-year review.	nt limitation and payment eligibility
End of year reviews are conducted annually on a number of fa are participants in various FSA administered programs. Initia limitation determinations are made based on the producer's ce operation will be conducted for the year.	l payment eligibility and payment
To ensure overall program integrity, it is necessary that the previewed and documented. Accordingly, your farming operat whether the operation was conducted in 20XX as represented on which the initial payment eligibility and payment limitation	ion will be reviewed to determine on CCC-902, Farm Operating Plan,
[Delete the following paragraphs that are not applicable to th	ge producer.]
To verify capital contributions, the following documents and i this letter as a checklist when responding to this request):	information are required (please use
operating loan documents income and expense ledgers canceled checks for expenditures, such as: fertilizer seed fuel equipment leases and purchases land leases and purchases hired labor and management any other farming operation expenditures.	

433 Producer Notification (Continued)

D Example Notification Letter (Continued)

To v	verify land contributions, documents and information are required as follows:
	lease agreements sales contracts property tax statements canceled checks associated with land.
To v	verify equipment contributions, documents and information are required as follows:
	equipment listings lease agreements purchase contracts canceled checks associated with equipment.
To v	verify labor contributions, documents and information are required as follows:
	documentation of who provided actual labor contributions and type of labor employee time sheets or books, if applicable canceled checks for hired labor, if applicable.
To v	verify management contributions, documents and information are required as follows:
	documentation of who provided actual management contributions and specific duties canceled checks for hired management documents showing signature of individual involved in management, such as: canceled checks for significant purchases loan documents lease and purchase agreements sales documents.
	*contempraneous records or logs of management activities performed throughout entire crop year**
	er documents and information necessary to make a complete review includes, but is not limited ne following:
	crop sales documents warehouse ledgers gin ledgers corporation papers, including documentation of share ownership

445 Required Documentation (Continued)

E Examples of Other Documents Needed

Other documents that may be needed to make a complete review could include, but are not limited to, the following:

- crop sales documents
- warehouse ledgers
- gin ledgers
- corporation papers, including documentation of share ownership
- partnership agreements or articles of partnership
- trust agreements
- legal documents and contracts
- accounting records
- court records
- crop insurance documents.

446 Failure to Provide Documentation

A Introduction

Producers selected for an end-of-year review must provide the reviewing authority with requested documents. This paragraph explains the adverse actions that will be taken if a producer does not comply with this requirement.

B Documentation Not Provided

A producer's failure to submit end-of-year review documentation shall result in the following actions.

IF the producer	THEN the producer shall be
refuses to provide the requested information	• determined not "actively engaged in farming" and ineligible for the year of the review and all later years until eligibility can be reestablished
 does not provide information within 30 calendar days 	notified of the revised determination, and given appeal rights
	• required to refund payments earned as a result of the previous "actively engaged in farming" and eligibility determinations, according to the applicable program handbook.
	Note: Follow 58-FI for issuing the initial notification letter.
	Note: This determination does not require COC action.

Note: The reviewing authority may extend the deadline to provide information if merited by unusual circumstances.

C County Office Action

After a producer is determined ineligible for payment ***, County Offices shall update the eligibility records through the eligibility or entity file.

447-457 (Reserved)

is form is available ele CCC-900-2		ENT OF AGRICULTURE	A. Producer Name			
(08-02-18) Commodity Credit Corporation PAYMENT ELIGIBILITY/LIMITATION		y Credit Corporation	Southland Parts	ners		
		IRILITY/LIMITATION	B. State and Count		<u> </u>	
		IVED FROM PRODUCER	D. State and Sound	y omee rame	,	
	CHE	CKLIST 2	Jones County F	SA Office;	TX	
	Agricultu	ral Act of 2014	C. Program Year R	leviewed		
			2014 □ 201		2017 2	2018
Use this checklist to applicable, check "N		ments submitted by the producer and in	itial and date. If a contribut	tion or determi	nation is not	
Contribution or	Determination	Documentation	Required	Initial	Date	N/A
1. Capital						+
		□ C. Canceled checks for expenditure □ C. Canceled checks for exp	ures, such as:			
		☐ (3) chemicals				
				HD	9-8-15	
		(5) equipment leases and pur	chases	nD	5-0-13	
		(6) land leases and purchase				
		(7) livestock and livestock rela				
			•			
		(8) hired labor or managemen				
		(9) other farming operation ex	rpenaltures.			
2. Land		(Specify):				+
2. 20114		B. Sales contracts.				
		☐ C. Property tax statements.				
		□ D. Canceled checks associated w	ith land	HD	9-8-15	
		E. Other:	itir idira.			
		(Specify):				
3. Equipment						+
		☐ B. Purchase contracts.				
		☐ C. Equipment listings.				
		☑ D. Canceled checks associated w	ith equipment	HD	9-8-15	
		☐ E. Other:	iai equipinone			
		(Specify):				
4. Labor		☐ A. Employee time sheets or book	S.			+
		☐ B. Canceled checks for hired labor	or.			
		C. Other:		HD	9-8-15	
		(Specify):				
edministering USDA programs	are prohibited from discrim	Imment of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and poli- inating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, g- c assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retailab	ender identity (including gender express	ion), sexual orientatio	n, disability, age, marit	taf .
all bases apply to all programs)	Remedies and complaint	c assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retailab filing deadlines vary by program or incident.	от от риот оттипуна волиту, т впу pro	учалт от выянку солас	roted or tarided by USI	an (nut
		communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large 'Y) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (i				
write a letter addressed to USD	A and provide in the letter	ISDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, fo all of the information requested in the form. To request a co	py of the complaint form, call (866) 632-	9992. Submit your co.	mpleted form or letter t	office or
by: (1) mail: U.S. Department o	f Agriculture Office of the A	Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights 1400 Independence Aver provider, employer, and lender.	nue, SW Washington, D.C. 20250-9410;	(2) fax: (202) 690-744	12; or (3) email:	
y: (1) mait. U.S. Department o	f Agriculture Office of the A	Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights 1400 Independence Avei	nue, SW Washington, D.C. 20250-9410;	(2) fax: (202) 690-744	12, or (3) email:	

	Producer Name: Southland Partners	Τ	Т	$\overline{}$
Contribution or Determination	Documentation Required	Initial	Date	
5. Management	A. Canceled checks for hired management.			T
	☐ B. Loan documents.			
	☑ D. Sales documents.			
	☐ E. Appointment books.			
	☐ F. Calendars.			
	G. Narrative summaries.	HD	9-8-15	
	H. Phone logs.			
	☐ I. Activity logs.			
	☐ J Contemporaneous records or logs of management			
	activities.			
	K. Other:			
	(Specify):			
6. Commensurate	☑ A. Program documents:			+
	(Specify): PLC and ARC applications			
	☑ B. Crop sales documents.			
	☑ C. Warehouse ledgers.			
	☐ D. Gin ledgers.			
	☐ E. Corporation papers, including ownership share.			
	☑ F. Partnership agreements.			
	☐ G. Trust agreements.	HD	9-8-15	
	☐ H. Legal documents and contracts.			
	☑ I. Accounting records.			
	☐ J. Court records.			
	☐ L. Other:			
	(Specify):			
D. Signature of Reviewing Authority of	or Review Team Member	E. Date ((MM-DD-YYYY)	
ısı Hal Drake			9-8-15	
				_

CCC-900-3		PARTMENT OF AGR			A. Producer Name		
(08-02-18)	Con	nmodity Credit Corpo	ration	[:	Southland Part	ners	
	DA\/4451	T EL 10/5" != '	# IBAITATION		B. State and Coun	ty Office Name	
	CONT	IT ELIGIBILITY/ RIBUTION WO	RKSHEET		Jones County F	SA Office; TX	
	Αţ	gricultural Act o	f 2014	T T	C. Program Year F	Reviewed 15	017 🗆 201:
		F THE FARMING			<u> </u>	15 2010 2	017 🔲 2016
Complete Part	A to determine		the farming operation		1 4		
		1. Capital	2. Land	3. Equipment	4. Labor	5. Management	6. Total
Jack Brooks		#3,000,000	\$1,290,000	\$500,000	\$300,000	-	\$5,090,00
Joanne Broo			,-,,	1	active	active	1
Jake Brooks					active	active	
Judy Brooks					active	active	
					-		
<u> </u>							
				1	1		
7. TOTAL							\$5,090,00
							1
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
8. Notes and F	Remarks						
Total value of ti	he farming ope					sonal labor and active for which the dete	
Total value of ti	he farming ope					sonal labor and acti e for which the dete	
Total value of the management the made. Use information	he farming ope nat is contribut n provided by t	ed by each membe	er, that is needed to	conduct the farmi	ing operation for th		rmination is
Total value of ti management th made. Use information county committed	he farming ope nat is contribut n provided by t	the producer, exten	er, that is needed to	conduct the farmi	ing operation for th	e for which the dete	rmination is the area by the
Total value of the management the made. Use information county committed to the county county and the county	he farming openat is contributed by the second of the seco	the producer, exten	er, that is needed to nsion service inform (USDA) civil rights regulations a wr, religion, sex, gender identify	conduct the farmination, and values and polices, the USDA, #s.4g (including gender expression)	ing operation for the considered normal vences, offices, and employee.	e for which the dete	rmination is the area by the
Total value of ti management the made. Use information county committed from a public assidance deadlines vary by program are public assidance. Persons with disabilities.	he farming ope nat is contribute n provided by t lee. rel cust rights lew end t from discrimanting bea program, political belief m or incident.	the producer, exten US Department of Agriculture Set on race, color, national originator, color, retrollation for processor or reprisal or retailation for processor of communication fo	er, that is needed to nsion service inform (USDA) cwil rights regulations a n, religion, sex, gender identity, nor civil rights activity, in any purogram information (e.g., Braille	conduct the farmination, and values and polices, the USDA, its Ag (including gender expression rogram or activity conducted is a large print, audiotape, Arrest, large print, audiotape, Arrest.	ing operation for the considered normal pences, offices, and employee, or funded by USDA (not all base rican Sign Language, etc.) sho	e for which the dete and customary for t s, and institutions participating in age, martial status, family/paren	rmination is the area by the or administering USD. Ital status, income deri- dice and complaint fair

to determine whether capital qualified as a significant contribution. It as a significant contribution? If "YES", complete this Part B. If "NO", go to Part C. Action The low the capital used as a significant contribution was acquired. Check the appropriate item or items, and go to the corresponding step of Part B. Direct out-of-pocket input by individual, entity, joint operation, or members of joint operation. Go to step 2. Capital borrowed by individual, entity, joint operation, or member of joint operation. Go to step 3. Inations if direct out-of-pocket capital input. The capital was funded by an individual, entity, or member of the joint operation, were these ributions made directly to the farming operation? If "NO", explain: Doint operation in which the capital is contributed by a member or members of the joint operation er than the joint operation itself, review member accounting records, checks, and loan documents to ermine amounts invested.	YES	NO
Action ne how the capital used as a significant contribution was acquired. Check the appropriate item or items, and go to the corresponding step of Part B. Direct out-of-pocket input by individual, entity, joint operation, or members of joint operation. Go to step 2. Capital borrowed by individual, entity, joint operation, or member of joint operation. Go to step 3. nations if direct out-of-pocket capital input. e capital was funded by an individual, entity, or member of the joint operation, were these ributions made directly to the farming operation? If "NO", explain: oint operation in which the capital is contributed by a member or members of the joint operation er than the joint operation itself, review member accounting records, checks, and loan documents to		NO
Action ne how the capital used as a significant contribution was acquired. Check the appropriate item or items, and go to the corresponding step of Part B. Direct out-of-pocket input by individual, entity, joint operation, or members of joint operation. Go to step 2. Capital borrowed by individual, entity, joint operation, or member of joint operation. Go to step 3. nations if direct out-of-pocket capital input. e capital was funded by an individual, entity, or member of the joint operation, were these ributions made directly to the farming operation? If "NO", explain: oint operation in which the capital is contributed by a member or members of the joint operation er than the joint operation itself, review member accounting records, checks, and loan documents to	X	
check the appropriate item or items, and go to the corresponding step of Part B. Direct out-of-pocket input by individual, entity, joint operation, or members of joint operation. Go to step 2. Capital borrowed by individual, entity, joint operation, or member of joint operation. Go to step 3. nations if direct out-of-pocket capital input. e capital was funded by an individual, entity, or member of the joint operation, were these ributions made directly to the farming operation? If "NO", explain: oint operation in which the capital is contributed by a member or members of the joint operation er than the joint operation itself, review member accounting records, checks, and loan documents to		
Check the appropriate item or items, and go to the corresponding step of Part B. Direct out-of-pocket input by individual, entity, joint operation, or members of joint operation. Go to step 2. Capital borrowed by individual, entity, joint operation, or member of joint operation. Go to step 3. nations if direct out-of-pocket capital input. e capital was funded by an individual, entity, or member of the joint operation, were these ributions made directly to the farming operation? If "NO", explain: oint operation in which the capital is contributed by a member or members of the joint operation er than the joint operation itself, review member accounting records, checks, and loan documents to		
Direct out-of-pocket input by individual, entity, joint operation, or members of joint operation. Go to step 2. Capital borrowed by individual, entity, joint operation, or member of joint operation. Go to step 3. nations if direct out-of-pocket capital input. e capital was funded by an individual, entity, or member of the joint operation, were these ributions made directly to the farming operation? If "NO", explain: oint operation in which the capital is contributed by a member or members of the joint operation er than the joint operation itself, review member accounting records, checks, and loan documents to		
step 2. Capital borrowed by individual, entity, joint operation, or member of joint operation. Go to step 3. nations if direct out-of-pocket capital input. c capital was funded by an individual, entity, or member of the joint operation, were these ributions made directly to the farming operation? If "NO", explain: oint operation in which the capital is contributed by a member or members of the joint operation er than the joint operation itself, review member accounting records, checks, and loan documents to		
nations if direct out-of-pocket capital input. e capital was funded by an individual, entity, or member of the joint operation, were these ributions made directly to the farming operation? If "NO", explain: oint operation in which the capital is contributed by a member or members of the joint operation er than the joint operation itself, review member accounting records, checks, and loan documents to		
e capital was funded by an individual, entity, or member of the joint operation, were these ributions made directly to the farming operation? If "NO", explain: oint operation in which the capital is contributed by a member or members of the joint operation er than the joint operation itself, review member accounting records, checks, and loan documents to		
ributions made directly to the farming operation? If "NO", explain: oint operation in which the capital is contributed by a member or members of the joint operation er than the joint operation itself, review member accounting records, checks, and loan documents to		
er than the joint operation itself, review member accounting records, checks, and loan documents to		
each member's contribution of capital commensurate with their share of the operation? O", other contributions may justify the claimed share of operation:		
ributions were out-of-pocket if not adequately established by documentation. Determine whether		
capital borrowed? If "YES", go to step 3. If "NO", go to step 4.		
nation if capital was borrowed.		
pital contribution was borrowed:		
view the producer to determine from whom the capital was borrowed, and annotate the lender's		
st Southwest Bank and Trust		
eate the percentage of conital contribution that was borround: 70%		
are the percentage of capital contribution that was bollowed. 1000		
nge with the producer to contact the lender and review the loan file.		
y, member of a joint operation or any other joint operation with an interest in the farming operation?		х
the said of the sa	review the individual, entity representative, or member of the joint operation to ensure that capital tributions were out-of-pocket if not adequately established by documentation. Determine whether a borrowed the capital to provide their contribution. If "YES", go to step 3. If "NO", go to step 4. Ination if capital was borrowed. In the producer to determine from whom the capital was borrowed, and annotate the lender's new accounting records to determine whether the capital was contributed directly to the farming ration. In the producer to contact the lender and review the loan file. It is the loan acquired as a result of a loan being made to, guaranteed by, or secured by an individual, by, member of a joint operation or any other joint operation with an interest in the farming operation? ES", the capital contribution may not qualify as a significant contribution.	tributions were out-of-pocket if not adequately established by documentation. Determine whether by borrowed the capital to provide their contribution. Is capital borrowed? If "YES", go to step 3. If "NO", go to step 4. Initiation if capital was borrowed. Initiation was borrowed: Initiation was borro

Stan	Producer Name: Southland Partners			_
Step	Action	YES	NO	Т
4	Determination of significant contribution.	120	110	
	A. Did the producer provide the initial reviewing authority an estimated expense report for the year?			
	(1) If "YES", verify that the contribution equals as least 50 percent of the estimated expenses.	l		
	(2) If "NO", how did the reviewing authority determine that the individual's or entity's contribution was equal to 50 percent of the total capital necessary to conduct the farming operation?	Х		
	B. Based on the review of the capital represented by the farming operation as qualifying, did the farming operation or individuals meet the requirements for a significant contribution of capital?			
	(1) If "YES", the farming operation has met its significant "left-hand" contribution.	x		
	(2) If "NO", the farming operation has not met the requirements for significant "left-hand" contributions without additional "left-hand" contributions. Go to step 5.			
	farming and livestock operation. Information from the CRES and FLP values were comparative purposes.	used f	or all	
PART	C - EQUIPMENT CONTRIBUTION			
	C – EQUIPMENT CONTRIBUTION ete Part C to determine whether equipment qualified as a significant contribution.			
		YES	NO	Į
Comple Was ed	ete Part C to determine whether equipment qualified as a significant contribution.	YES X	NO	
Comple Was ed Step	ete Part C to determine whether equipment qualified as a significant contribution. quipment used as a significant contribution? If "YES", complete this Part C. If "NO", go to Part D. Action		NO	
Comple Was ed	ete Part C to determine whether equipment qualified as a significant contribution.		NO	
Comple Was ed Step	pulpment used as a significant contribution? If "YES", complete this Part C. If "NO", go to Part D. Action Determine how the equipment used as a significant contribution was acquired.		NO	
Comple Was ed Step	the Part C to determine whether equipment qualified as a significant contribution. uipment used as a significant contribution? If "YES", complete this Part C. If "NO", go to Part D. Action		NO	
Comple Was ed Step	pulpment used as a significant contribution? If "YES", complete this Part C. If "NO", go to Part D. Action Determine how the equipment used as a significant contribution was acquired. Note: Check the appropriate item or items, and go to the corresponding step of this worksheet. A. Owned by an operation or its members. Go to step 2.		NO	
Was ed Step 1	pulpment used as a significant contribution? If "YES", complete this Part C. If "NO", go to Part D. Action Determine how the equipment used as a significant contribution was acquired. Note: Check the appropriate item or items, and go to the corresponding step of this worksheet. A. Owned by an operation or its members. Go to step 2.			
Was ed Step 1	ste Part C to determine whether equipment qualified as a significant contribution. Suipment used as a significant contribution? If "YES", complete this Part C. If "NO", go to Part D. Action		NO X	
Was ed Step 1	pulpment used as a significant contribution? If "YES", complete this Part C. If "NO", go to Part D. Action Determine how the equipment used as a significant contribution was acquired. Note: Check the appropriate item or items, and go to the corresponding step of this worksheet. A. Owned by an operation or its members. Go to step 2. B. Leased by an operation or its members. Go to step 3. Equipment owned and contributed by individual, entity, or joint operation. A. Did the farming operation or its members own all of the equipment used in the farming operation? Note: Review accounting or tax depreciating records, if provided, and other information to confirm			
Was ed Step 1	pulpment used as a significant contribution? If "YES", complete this Part C. If "NO", go to Part D. Action Determine how the equipment used as a significant contribution was acquired. Note: Check the appropriate item or items, and go to the corresponding step of this worksheet. A. Owned by an operation or its members. Go to step 2. B. Leased by an operation or its members. Go to step 3. Equipment owned and contributed by individual, entity, or joint operation. A. Did the farming operation or its members own all of the equipment used in the farming operation? Note: Review accounting or tax depreciating records, if provided, and other information to confirm ownership of the equipment by the individual, entity, or joint operation. (1) If "NO", did the producer own a sufficient amount of equipment to conduct the farming operation and to meet the significant contribution requirement? B. If the farming operation is conducted by an individual, entity, or joint operation, was the equipment	x		
Was ed Step 1	pulpment used as a significant contribution? If "YES", complete this Part C. If "NO", go to Part D. Action Determine how the equipment used as a significant contribution was acquired. Note: Check the appropriate item or items, and go to the corresponding step of this worksheet. A. Owned by an operation or its members. Go to step 2. B. Leased by an operation or its members. Go to step 3. Equipment owned and contributed by individual, entity, or joint operation. A. Did the farming operation or its members own all of the equipment used in the farming operation? Note: Review accounting or tax depreciating records, if provided, and other information to confirm ownership of the equipment by the individual, entity, or joint operation. (1) If "NO", did the producer own a sufficient amount of equipment to conduct the farming operation and to meet the significant contribution requirement?	x		
Was ed Step 1	pulpment used as a significant contribution? If "YES", complete this Part C. If "NO", go to Part D. Action Determine how the equipment used as a significant contribution was acquired. Note: Check the appropriate item or items, and go to the corresponding step of this worksheet. A. Owned by an operation or its members. Go to step 2. B. Leased by an operation or its members. Go to step 3. Equipment owned and contributed by individual, entity, or joint operation. A. Did the farming operation or its members own all of the equipment used in the farming operation? Note: Review accounting or tax depreciating records, if provided, and other information to confirm ownership of the equipment by the individual, entity, or joint operation. (1) If "NO", did the producer own a sufficient amount of equipment to conduct the farming operation and to meet the significant contribution requirement? B. If the farming operation is conducted by an individual, entity, or joint operation, was the equipment contributed directly to the farming operation by the individual, entity, or joint operation? If "NO", use of the equipment to qualify as a significant contribution is questionable. Explain how	x		

Step	Producer Name: Southland Partners Action			
олор	, talen	YES	NO	N/
2 (cont)	C. For equipment contributed to the farming operation, determine whether this equipment was acquired as a result of a loan. If the equipment was acquired as a result of a loan, was the loan made to, guaranteed by, or secured by any individual, joint operation, entity, or member of a joint operation that has an interest in the farming operation? If "NO", equipment may be used as a significant contribution.		х	
	If "YES", obtain and copy information about the loan, and discuss with both the producer and County Office to determine whether significant contribution requirements were met.			
3	Equipment leased and contributed by individual, entity, or joint operation.			
	Determine what equipment was leased and from whom the equipment was leased. Obtain copies of relevant lease documents and equipment listings.			
	A. Was the equipment leased from someone with an interest in the farming operation?			
	If "NO", leased equipment may qualify as a significant contribution to the farming operation. Go to Step 4.		х	
	If "YES":			
	(1) Is the leased equipment necessary for a significant contribution of equipment? If "NO", explain fully and go to step 4:			
	(2) Explain fully the interest of the lessor in the farming operation:			
	(3) Determine and explain how payments were made for the equipment:			
	Note: If the equipment was leased by the hour, day, or acre basis, payment must be made in a timely manner. Review accounting records, checks, and billing invoices.			
	(4) Were equipment lease payments timely paid?	х		
	If "YES", was capital borrowed by the individual, entity, or joint operation from any other individual, entity, or joint operation with an interest in the farming operation?			
	Note: If "YES", explain how the farming operation paid for the cash-leased equipment. Obtain and copy necessary documents to support the finding:			
	If "NO", interview the producer and determine why lease agreements were not paid in a timely manner, and go to step 4:			
4	Determination of significant contribution.			
	A. How did the initial reviewing authority determine the total rental value of the equipment?			
	B. Based on the review of the equipment used in the farming operation, did the equipment qualify as a significant contribution?	х		
	If "YES", the individual, entity, or joint operation has met the "left-hand" contribution requirement.			

Step	Action			
5	Summarize the facts involved in this determination, develop findings as appropriate, and go to Part D.			
	The majority of the equipment contributed and used in the operation was owned by partnership. None of the equipment was utilzied by any other farming operations.	the		
	D – LAND CONTRIBUTION te Part D to determine whether the land qualified as a significant contribution.			
Was lar	nd used as a significant contribution? If "YES", complete this Part D. If "NO", go to Part E.	YES	NO	N
Step	Action			
1	Determine how the land used as a significant contribution was acquired.			
	Note: Check the appropriate block or blocks, and go to the corresponding step of this worksheet.			
	☐ A. Landowner: individual, entity, or joint operation. <i>Go to step 2.</i>			
	☐ B. Landowner: owned and contributed by members of joint operation. Go to step 3.			
	 □ C. Crop-share lease: individual, entity, or joint operation. Go to step 4. □ D. Cash-leased: individual, entity, or joint operation. Go to step 5. 			
	E. Land contributed by combination of methods. Go to applicable steps 2 through 5.			
2	Determination if land is owned by individual, entity, or joint operation.			
	Obtain and review documents supporting ownership of land, such as deeds or other title documents.			
	Note: If not available from the County Office, this information should be filed with the appropriate county court.			
	A. Was ownership of the land established for the applicable crop, program, or FY?			Γ
	Note: If "NO", the individual, entity, or joint operation cannot qualify under the landowner provisions as actively engaged in farming.			
	During the review of the deed and/or title documents, determine whether the land was acquired by "Contract for Deed," "Deed of Trust," "Land Contract," or other similar arrangement.			
	Note: This is considered to be acquired as a result of a loan.			
	Was this land acquisition guaranteed by, or secured by an individual, entity, or other joint operation, including members, that have an interest in the farming operation?			
	Note: If "YES", the land cannot qualify as a significant contribution to the farming operation. Document and copy appropriate information:			
	D. If the landowner provision is used by a joint operation holding title to the land, review the joint operation agreement for dissolution of the operation.			
	Does this agreement provide that, upon dissolution, the title to the land owned or proceeds from the sale of the land will revert to the individual members according to their respective shares?			
	Note: If "NO", the landowner provisions cannot be used unless the regional attorney advises that state law provides that, upon dissolution of the joint operation, the land will be sold and the proceeds divided according to each member's share in the joint operation and there is no documentation otherwise.			

Step	Producer Name: Southland Partners Action			_
		YES	NO	N
3	Determination if land is owned and contributed by member or members of joint operation.			
	Obtain and review the deed or other title documents for the land.			
	Note: If not available from the County Office, this information should be filed with the appropriate county court.			
	A. Was ownership of the land established for the applicable crop, program, or FY? If "NO", the individual, entity, or joint operation cannot qualify under the landowner provisions as "actively engaged in farming."			
	During the review of the deed and/or title documents, determine whether the land was acquired by "Contract for Deed," "Deed of Trust," or other similar arrangement.			
	Note: This is considered to be acquired as a result of a loan.			
	B. Was this land acquisition guaranteed by, or secured by an individual, entity, or other joint operation, including members, that have an interest in the farming operation?			
	If "NO", go to step 4.			
	If "YES", the land cannot qualify as a contribution to the farming operation. Document and copy appropriate information.			
4	Obtain and review the lease agreements between the farming operation and the landowner. A. Does the lease agreement require a minimum cash payment? If "YES", determine if it is a cash or share lease.		x	
	Review the accounting records, crop settlement sheets, or other records and compare the percentage division of crop or crop proceeds to the percentage of division on applicable contracts and applications.			
	B. Was the landowner's share of the production the same as reported to FSA? If "NO", discuss this with the producer to determine why the landowner's share was different than that reported.	х		
	C. Was the land leased from someone with an interest in the farming operation other than as a landlord? If "YES", explain.		х	

Step	Action			
5	Determinations if land is considered each leased by individual, entity or joint eneration	YES	NO	N/A
3	Determinations if land is considered cash-leased by individual, entity, or joint operation. Review CCC-902 and cash-lease agreements. Determine who the land was leased from and if they had an interest in the farming operation.		x	
	 If the cash-leased land is owned and contributed by someone with an interest in the farming operation, determine by interviewing the member or their representative and by reviewing documents, if the land is mortgaged and if the loan to acquire the land was made by, guaranteed by, or secured by, anyone having an interest in the farming operation. 			
	Note: A "Contract for Deed," "Deed of Trust," "Land Contract," or other similar arrangement is considered to be acquired as the result of a loan.			
6	Determination of significant contribution.			
	How did the reviewing authority determine total rental value of the land?			
	Note: Rental value will not be listed on CCC-902 unless the land is leased from someone with an interest in the operation.			
	Compare the land owned and leased by the farming operation and used to determine the producer "actively engaged in farming" with all land operated by the farming operation. Use producer's CCC-902 and obtain other County Office records, such as the producer payment record, to identify all farms operated. A. Did the producer operate more land than was initially used by COC to make the "actively engaged in farming" determination? If "YES", determine and explain how the contribution was found to equal 50 percent of the individual's or entity's commensurate share.		х	
	B. Based upon this comparison of the land operated by the producer to the land initially used by CCC in making determinations, does the land owned and/or leased by the farm operation qualify for inclusion as a significant contribution and have a value equal to at least 50 percent of the individual's, entity's, or joint operation's commensurate share of the total rental value of the land? Note: If "NO", the individual, entity, or joint operation cannot qualify as "actively engaged in	x		
	farming" using land as its significant contribution.			
7	Summarize the facts involved in this determination, develop findings as appropriate, and go to Part E. All share leased and cash rented land was acquired by the farming operation at ranormal and customary for the area. The crop production from the share rented lar according to the shares represented and acreages reported. The rent for the cash was paid timely and in accordance with the terms of the lease agreements. No lar leased from any persons or legal entities that had an interest in the operation operations.	nd was n rente nd was	divid ed lan	led .d

	-3(08-02-18) Producer Name: Southland Partners		Page 8	oī
PART	E – CASH-RENT TENANT			
Comple	ete Part E to determine whether the producer meets the cash-rent tenant rule.			_
Cton	A - Ai	YES	NO	L
Step 1	Action			Т
·	A. If the producer is a cash-rent tenant, did the producer provide a contribution of active personal labor to the farming operation?			
	If "YES", complete Part G to determine if the contribution of active personal labor was significant.		х	
	 If "NO", complete Part C and Part H to determine if the producer provided a combination of significant contributions of equipment and management. 			
2	Upon completion Parts C and H, does the producer meet the cash-rent tenant rules of 4-PL paragraphs 91 and 92.			
	If "YES", complete step 3 and go to CCC-900-5.	x		
	 If "NO", determine the producer ineligible for payment on the cash-rent land. Complete step 3 and go to worksheet CCC-900-5. 			
3	Summarize the facts involved in this determination, develop findings as appropriate, and go to Part F.			_
	F – COMBINATION OF CAPITAL, EQUIPMENT, AND LAND CONTRIBUTION te Part F determine whether a combination of capital, equipment, and land qualified as a significant contribution			
	y combination of capital, equipment, and land used to qualify as a significant contribution. If "YES", complete	YES	NO X	
	If "NO", go to Part G. Action			L
Step 1	If the contribution is a combination of the 3 "left-hand" inputs (capital, equipment, and land):			_
	requirement to determine whether the rules have been met for the appropriate contributions: A. Capital (go to Part B)			
	☐ B. Equipment (go to Part C)			
	C Land (go to Part H)			
	☐ C. Land (go to Part H)			
2	☐ C. Land (go to Part H) Go to step 2 of Part E after completing the appropriate Parts. Determinations.			
2	Go to step 2 of Part E after completing the appropriate Parts.) percen	t of the	
2	Go to step 2 of Part E after completing the appropriate Parts. Determinations. How did the reviewing authority determine that the combined contribution of "left-hand" inputs was equal to 30 individual's or entity's commensurate share of the total value of the farming operation?) percen		
2	Go to step 2 of Part E after completing the appropriate Parts. Determinations. How did the reviewing authority determine that the combined contribution of "left-hand" inputs was equal to 30			
2	Go to step 2 of Part E after completing the appropriate Parts. Determinations. How did the reviewing authority determine that the combined contribution of "left-hand" inputs was equal to 30 individual's or entity's commensurate share of the total value of the farming operation? Does the actual contribution of the inputs equal to 30 percent of the individual's or entity's commensurate share of the total value of the farming operation? If "YES", the requirements for significant "left-hand" contribution have been met.			
2	Go to step 2 of Part E after completing the appropriate Parts. Determinations. How did the reviewing authority determine that the combined contribution of "left-hand" inputs was equal to 30 individual's or entity's commensurate share of the total value of the farming operation? Does the actual contribution of the inputs equal to 30 percent of the individual's or entity's commensurate share of the total value of the farming operation?			
2	Go to step 2 of Part E after completing the appropriate Parts. Determinations. How did the reviewing authority determine that the combined contribution of "left-hand" inputs was equal to 30 individual's or entity's commensurate share of the total value of the farming operation? Does the actual contribution of the inputs equal to 30 percent of the individual's or entity's commensurate share of the total value of the farming operation? If "YES", the requirements for significant "left-hand" contribution have been met. If "NO", the requirements to be "actively engaged in farming" have not been met unless exception applies. Check the appropriate exception if applicable:			
2	Go to step 2 of Part E after completing the appropriate Parts. Determinations. How did the reviewing authority determine that the combined contribution of "left-hand" inputs was equal to 30 individual's or entity's commensurate share of the total value of the farming operation? Does the actual contribution of the inputs equal to 30 percent of the individual's or entity's commensurate share of the total value of the farming operation? If "YES", the requirements for significant "left-hand" contribution have been met. If "NO", the requirements to be "actively engaged in farming" have not been met unless exception applies.			

Compi	ete Part G to determine whether active personal labor qualified as a significant contribution.	VEO	110	1.
Was ac	etive personal labor used as a significant contribution. If "YES", complete Part G. If "NO", go to Part J.	YES	NO	N
Step	Action			
1	Review CCC-902 and interview the individual or individuals contributing active personal labor.			
	Determine by interview or documentation if the individual or individuals indicated as contributing labor know how may hours of labor it takes for the farming operation and how many hours they provide.			
	Is there any record of hours worked by the contributing individual or individuals? If "YES", review and obtain copies to document the determination?			
2	Is this producer a joint operation?			
	If "YES", determine whether labor performed by a member of a joint operation, was excluded as a contribution.			
	Note: If a member of joint operation is paid for any part of the contribution, the contribution cannot be counted.			
	Review accounting records and determine whether salaries were paid by the joint operation to any member.			
	If "NO", go to step 3.			
3	Determine whether the individual could have provided the labor reported on CCC-902.			
	A. Was the individual living away from the farm?			
	B. Did the individual correctly report his or her residence to the County Office?			
	Note: Consider interview with the individual (without manager or principal present), if information indicates that it is doubtful the individual provided active personal labor to the farming operation.			
4	Review the payroll and accounting records.			
	A. Was the individual paid for labor? If "NO", go to step 5.			
	B. If "YES", how much was the individual paid and who paid the labor cost?			
5	Determine:			
	How "draws" on capital accounts were considered at the end of the year when the profit or loss was disbursed			
	For joint operations, if commensurate shares were maintained for the members.			
i .				

Complete Part H to determine whether active personal management qualified as a significant contribution. Was active personal management used as a significant contribution. If "YES", complete Part G. X Action Review the description of management shown on CCC-902. A Is this a joint operation? If "YES", determine whether management performed by a member of a joint operation, was excluded as a contribution. Note: If a member of joint operation is paid for any part of the contribution, the contribution cannot be counted. Review accounting records and determine whether salaries were paid by the joint operation to any member. If "No", go to step 3. B. Is this a non-family joint operation seeking to qualify more than one member with only significant contribution of active personal management? If "YES", go to question E. If "No", go to question H. C. Did members seeking to qualify as managers perform required management activities that equaled 25 percent of the total management hours required; or at least 500 hours of management activities? If "YES", go to question D. D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "No", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that adequate documenting nearing personal management that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question G. If "No", go to question G. If "No", go to question G. If "No", go to question G.		H - ACTIVE PERSONAL MANAGEMENT CONTRIBUTION			
Was active personal management used as a significant contribution. If "YES", go to Part I. Review the description of management shown on CCC-902. A Is this a joint operation?	Comple	te Part H to determine whether active personal management qualified as a significant contribution.	VEC	NO	LNI
Action Review the description of management shown on CCC-902. A. Is this a joint operation? If "YES", determine whether management performed by a member of a joint operation, was excluded as a contribution. Note: If a member of joint operation is paid for any part of the contribution, the contribution cannot be counted. Review accounting records and determine whether salaries were paid by the joint operation to any member. If "NO", go to step 3. B. Is this a non-family joint operation seeking to qualify more than one member with only significant contribution of active personal management or a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management? If "YES", go to question C If "NO", go to question H. C. Did members seeking to qualify as managers perform required management activities that equaled 25 percent of the total management hours required; or at least 500 hours of management activities? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question D. D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "NO", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting				NO	IV
A. Is this a joint operation? • If "YES", determine whether management performed by a member of a joint operation, was excluded as a contribution. Note: If a member of joint operation is paid for any part of the contribution, the contribution cannot be counted. • Review accounting records and determine whether salaries were paid by the joint operation to any member. • If "NO", go to step 3. B. Is this a non-family joint operation seeking to qualify more than one member with only significant contribution of active personal management or a significant contribution of active personal management? • If "YES", go to question C • If "NO", go to question H. C. Did members seeking to qualify as managers perform required management activities that equaled 25 percent of the total management hours required; or at least 500 hours of management activities? • If "YES", go to question D. D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? • If "YES", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? • If "YES", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? • If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting		r *			
A. Is this a joint operation? If "YES", determine whether management performed by a member of a joint operation, was excluded as a contribution. Note: If a member of joint operation is paid for any part of the contribution, the contribution cannot be counted. Review accounting records and determine whether salaries were paid by the joint operation to any member. If "NO", go to step 3. B. Is this a non-family joint operation seeking to qualify more than one member with only significant contribution of active personal management or a significant contribution of active personal management? If "YES", go to question C If "NO", go to question H. C. Did members seeking to qualify as managers perform required management activities that equaled 25 percent of the total management hours required; or at least 500 hours of management activities? If "YES", go to question D. D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting	<u> </u>	7,000			Т
If "YES", determine whether management performed by a member of a joint operation, was excluded as a contribution. Note: If a member of joint operation is paid for any part of the contribution, the contribution cannot be counted. Review accounting records and determine whether salaries were paid by the joint operation to any member. If "NO", go to step 3. Is this a non-family joint operation seeking to qualify more than one member with only significant contribution of active personal management or a significant contribution of a combination of active personal land active personal management? If "YES", go to question C If "NO", go to question H. C. Did members seeking to qualify as managers perform required management activities that equaled 25 percent of the total management hours required; or at least 500 hours of management activities? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question D. D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question H. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting	2				\vdash
Be counted. Review accounting records and determine whether salaries were paid by the joint operation to any member. If "NO", go to step 3. B. Is this a non-family joint operation seeking to qualify more than one member with only significant contribution of active personal management or a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management? If "YES", go to question C If "NO", go to question H. C. Did members seeking to qualify as managers perform required management activities that equaled 25 percent of the total management hours required; or at least 500 hours of management activities? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question D. D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question H. F. Was CCC-90S completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting	-	If "YES", determine whether management performed by a member of a joint operation, was			
member. If "NO", go to step 3. Is this a non-family joint operation seeking to qualify more than one member with only significant contribution of active personal management or a significant contribution of active personal management? If "YES", go to question C If "NO", go to question H. C. Did members seeking to qualify as managers perform required management activities that equaled 25 percent of the total management hours required; or at least 500 hours of management activities? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question D. D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question F If "NO", go to question H. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question G If "NO", go to question G If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting			х		
B. Is this a non-family joint operation seeking to qualify more than one member with only significant contribution of active personal management or a significant contribution of a combination of active personal management? If "YES", go to question C If "NO", go to question H. C. Did members seeking to qualify as managers perform required management activities that equaled 25 percent of the total management hours required; or at least 500 hours of management activities? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question D. D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting		member.			
contribution of active personal management or a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management? If "YES", go to question C If "NO", go to question H. C. Did members seeking to qualify as managers perform required management activities that equaled 25 percent of the total management hours required; or at least 500 hours of management activities? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question D. D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question H. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting					\vdash
If "NO", go to question H. C. Did members seeking to qualify as managers perform required management activities that equaled 25 percent of the total management hours required; or at least 500 hours of management activities? If "YES", go to question D. D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question F If "NO", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting		contribution of active personal management or a significant contribution of a combination of active			
C. Did members seeking to qualify as managers perform required management activities that equaled 25 percent of the total management hours required; or at least 500 hours of management activities? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question D. D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question F If "NO", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question G If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting		If "YES", go to question C			
25 percent of the total management hours required; or at least 500 hours of management activities? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question D. D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question F If "NO", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question G If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting					
If "NO", go to question D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question F If "NO", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question G If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting					
D. Did the member provide a significant contribution of a combination of active personal labor and active personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question F If "NO", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question G If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting		If "YES", go to question E			
personal management (see 5-PL, paragraph 218, for minimum contribution requirements)? If "YES", go to question E If "NO", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question F If "NO", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question G If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting		If :"NO", go to question D.			
If "NO", go to question H. E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question F. If "NO", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question G. If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting					
E. Did the farming operation file CCC-902FM and CCC-902FMR from each member requesting additional farm managers? If "YES", go to question F If "NO", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question G If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting		If "YES", go to question E			
farm managers? If "YES", go to question F If "NO", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question G If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting					
If "NO", go to question H. F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question G If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting					
F. Was CCC-905 completed documenting the size and complexity of the farming operation and receive a determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question G If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting		If "YES", go to question F			
determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with by the State FSA Committee or DAFP as required? If "YES", go to question G If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting					
If "NO", go to question H. G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting		determination that qualifies the farming operation for additional farm managers that was concurred with			
G. Did the review find that adequate documentation exists to support the determination warranting		If "YES", go to question G			
		H. Number of qualifying members as managers. Check:			

Step	Producer Name: Southland Partners Action			—
Olep	Addati	YES	NO	N
3	If documentation does not adequately establish management contributions, interview the individual(s) and discuss management.			
	Does the individual(s) have knowledge of the farming operation commensurate with their claimed contribution of management?			
	Ask the individual(s) how the management duties performed contribute to the profitability of the farming operation.			
	Compare the written description of management on CCC-902 with the individual's comments.			
4	Note: Consider interview with the individual (without manager or principal present), if information indicates that it is doubtful the individual provided active personal management. Has the individual(s) prepared written management reports during the year?			
4	If "NO", go to step 5. If "YES", review and obtain copies.	Х		
5	Compare all the individual's residences with the farm location.	х		
	Was onsite management provided? • If "YES", how often?			
	If "NO", how are management duties performed?			
6	Determine:			
	 How "draws" upon capital accounts were considered at the end of the year when the profit or loss was For joint operations, if commensurate shares were maintained for the members. No draws were made by the members during the year. 	disburse	d.	
7	Compare the reported management contribution to the operation with the review results, and determine whet significant difference.	ther there	isa	
	There were no significan differences found or noted.			
8	Summarize the facts involved in this determination, develop findings as appropriate, and go to Part I. The documentations provided and the personal interviews supported the members' a representations of their management duties and responsibilities.	ssertic	ons an	d

	Producer Name: Southland Partners			
PART	- CONTINUATION OF ACTIVE PERSONAL LABOR AND ACTIVE PERSONAL MANAGEMENT CONTR	IBUTION		
Comple	ete Part I to determine whether a combination of active personal labor and active personal management qual	lified as a s	ignifica	nt
CONTID	uton.	YES	NO	T
Was a	combination of active personal labor and active personal management used as a significant contribution. ", complete Part I. If "NO", go to Part J.		х	ı
Step	Action			
1	Review the description of labor and management shown on CCC-902.			
	Review Part F and Part G to determine that the combination of active personal labor and active personal multiple critical impact on the profitability of the farming operation in an amount at least equal to the significant conticonsideration when taken alone.			
2	Determine how the reviewing authority determined that the contribution would have a critical impact on the farming operation.	profitability	of the	
2				_
3	Summarize the facts involved in this determination, develop findings as appropriate, and go to CCC-900-4.			
	J – COMMENSURATE AND AT-RISK CONTRIBUTIONS			
Compi	ete Part J to determine if contributions were commensurate and at-risk for a loss.			
Were t	pe contributions of each member commensurate with the claimed share of the profits or losses from the farm	ing operatio	n?	
Were t	ne contributions of each member commensurate with the claimed share of the profits or losses from the farm	ing operation	on?	_
List ea	ch member:	YES	on? NO	Į
List ea	<u> </u>	YES		
List ead	ch member:	YES		
List eac Jack	ch member: Brooks	YES		
List each Jack Joann Jake	ch member: Brooks Brooks	YES X X		
List each Jack Joann Jake	ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks	YES X X X		
List each Jack Joann Jake	ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks	YES X X X		
List eac Jack Joann Joann Jake Judy	ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks	YES X X X		
List ear Jack Joann Jake Judy Were ti	ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks	YES X X X		
List each Jack Joann Jake Judy Were th	ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks Characteristics of the contributions at risk for a loss?	YES X X X	NO	
Jack Joann Jake Judy Were th	ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks Ch member's contributions at risk for a loss?	YES X X X YES	NO	
Jack Joann Jake Judy Were ti List eac	ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks Che member's contributions at risk for a loss? Che member: Brooks Brooks	YES X X X YES	NO	
Jack Joann Jake Judy Were ti List eac Jack Joann Jake	ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks the member's contributions at risk for a loss? Ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks	YES X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	NO	
Jack Joann Jake Judy Were ti List eac Jack Joann Jake	ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks Che member's contributions at risk for a loss? Che member: Brooks Brooks	YES X X X X X X X	NO	
Jack Joann Jake Judy Were ti List eac Jack Joann Jake	ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks the member's contributions at risk for a loss? Ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks	YES X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	NO	
List each Jack Joann Jake List each Jack Joann Jake Judy	ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks the member's contributions at risk for a loss? Ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks	YES X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	NO	
Jack Joann Jake Jack Joann Jake Judy Summa	ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks Brooks the member's contributions at risk for a loss? Ch member: Brooks Brooks Brooks	YES X X X YES X X X A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	NO	
Were to List each Jack Judy Summa Each responses	ch member: Brooks Brook	YES X X X YES X X X A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	NO	
List each respondent to the manner of the ma	ch member: Brooks Brook	YES X X X YES X X X A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	NO	
Were to List each in response to the manner of the manner	Brooks Br	YES X X X YES X X X A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	NO NO	
List each Jack Joann Jake Judy Were ti List each Joann Jake Joann Jake Joann Jake Tespo Each Tespo Each The m Perso D. Sig	Brooks Br	YES X X X X YES X X X X Ent as period as	NO NO	

CCC-9		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	A. Producer Name Southland Partners				
(08-02-1	8)	Commodity Credit Corporation					
PAYMENT ELIGIBILITY/LIMITATION SUBSTANTIVE CHANGE AND OTHER DETERMINATIONS WORKSHEET Agricultural Act of 2014		DAYMENT ELICIBILITY// IMITATION	H	B. State and County Office Name			
		[]	b. State and County Office Ivan	ile			
		-	Jones County FSA Office;	TX			
			C. Program Year Reviewed				
				☑ 2014 □ 2015 □ 2016	2017	2018	
PART /	A – SUE	STANTIVE CHANGE					
Comple	ete Part	A to determine whether the substantive change requi	rements were me	et.			
					YES	NO	
		ncrease in the number of limitations for payment in thi ete Part A. If "NO", go to Part B.	is farming operati	ion from the previous year?		Х	
Step		Action		Finding			
1	Was s	substantive change required?					
		If "YES", go to step 2.					
	.	If "NO", enter the reason in the "Finding" column					
		and go to Part B.					
	Exam	ple: The formation of a husband and wife joint venture does not require substantive change.					
		4					
2		stantive change was required, list what COC lered substantive change.					
3	Includ	e the substantive change that occurred.					
	Note:	Go to the following step containing the substantive					
	Note.	change that occurred.					
	Step	Action					
	Α	If addition of adult family member, determine					
		whether the application of the adult family member rule qualifies the additional limitation.					
		•					
	В	If change in land rental from cash-lease to share- lease, determine whether the change qualifies a					
		landowner as an additional limitation.					
	С	If a 20 percent increase in base acres,					
		determine whether the change qualifies the additional limitation.					
	D	If a change in ownership of equipment or land, determine whether the change qualifies the					
		additional limitation.	1				
		If addition of equipment not proviously involved					
	=	in the farming operation, determine whether the					
-		change qualifies the additional limitation.	ļ				
4		narize the facts involved in this determination, op findings as appropriate, and go to Part B.					
		ederal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civi ng in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discrimina					
		rientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, incom y, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all be					
cident.		- The second sec		,	,-,,		
		es who require alternative means of communication for program info cy or USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or					
		cy of OSDA's TARGET Center at (202) 120-2000 (voice and 111) of lade available in languages other than English.	TOTALL CODA LINOUS	mine i ederal Nelay Service at (000) 077	-vvva. Additions	y, progra	
		rimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Co					
request	a copy of t	<u>gov/complaint_filing_cust.html</u> and at any USDA office or write a lette the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form	or letter to USDA by:	(1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture	Office of the Ass	sistant	
		hts 1400 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20250-9410,					

		hether foreign person determinations and other determinati I	,
Step	Determination	Action	Finding
1	Other farming interest	Did the producer indicate any other farming interests, including interest of spouse and minor?	No other farming interests.
		☐ YES ☐ NO	
		 If "YES", verify that all were reported by reviewing system reports. 	
		If "NO", verify by reviewing system reports, such as the entity interest report.	
2	Common attribution	Review the initial determination to determine whether the common attribution rule applies.	
		Is there a reason that common attribution applies?	
		☐ YES 🖾 NO	
		If "YES", explain.	
3	Foreign person	Do foreign person rules apply?	All members represented to be U.S. Citizens.
		☐ YES ☑ NO	o.s. cicizens.
		If "YES", specify and go to CCC-900-3.	
		If "NO", go to CCC-900-3.	
4	Estate	If the producer is an estate in existence 2 program years after the program year in which established, was the estate reviewed as required to determine why the estate was still open?	Not applicable.
		☐ YES ☐ NO	
		If "NO", was the estate kept open for the purpose of receiving program benefits.	
		☐ YES ☐ NO	
		If "YES", estate is not eligible for the year reviewed.	
5	Deceased Producer	Was the individual producer identified as deceased, or if the producer was a member of an operation or legal entity, were any members an individual identified as deceased during the year reviewed.	Not applicable. All members ar living.
		☐ YES ☐ NO	
		If "YES", were reviews completed and appropriate actions taken in accordance with 1-CM?	
		☐ YES ☐ NO	
		If "NO", document in Findings.	

		Producer Name: Southland	Partners	
PART 6	B – FOREIGN PERSONS Required State Office Determinations	S AND OTHER DETERMINATIONS (Continuation) Is the producer a joint operation with 6 or more members?		
		☐ YES ☑ NO (go to step 8)		
		If "YES", did the State Office timely make the initial eligibility and actively engaged in farming determinations as required?		
		☐ YES ☐ NO		
		If "NO", note explanation in Findings.		
7		comprised of non-family members with more than one alify for AE if with only a significant contribution of		
	☐ YES	S NO N/A		
8	County Committee Determinations	Did the county committee make all payment eligibility determinations within 60 calendar days after the required forms and supporting documentation needed for the determinations were received in the county office?		
		⊠ YES □ NO		
		If "NO", document in Findings as a default determination.		
		arity or Bayiau Taara Marahar		
D. Sigi	nature of Reviewing Auth	ority or Review Team Member		E. Date (MM-DD-YYY)
	nature of Reviewing Auth	only of Review Feam Member		E. Date (MM-DD-YYY) 9-9-15
		only of Review Fearm Member		
		only of Review Fearm Member		
		only of Review Fearm Member		
		only of review realitimember		

is form is available electronically. CCC-900-5 (08-02-18) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Commodity Credit Corporation				A. Producer Name Southland Partners			
PAYMENT ELIGIBILITY SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND F	-	Jones County FSA Office, TX					
Agricultural Act of 2014				C. Program Year Reviewed			
		☑ 2014 ☐ 2015 ☐ 2016 ☐ 2017 ☐ 201					
Complete this form to summarize findings for the	initial r	eviewi	g authority.				
Factor	YES	NO	Questi	Questioned		Handbook or Worksheet Reference	
1. CCC-902 followed.	Х						
Significant contribution of land.	Х						
Significant contribution of capital.	Х						
Significant contribution of equipment.	Х						
Significant contribution of "left-hand" combination.	х						
6. Significant contribution of active personal labor.		Х					
 Significant contribution of active personal management. 	Х						
Significant contribution of "right-hand" combination.		Х					
Share of profits and losses commensurate with contributions.	х						
10. Contributions at risk.	Х						
11. Foreign person rule met.					Х		
12. Spousal provision requirements met.					Х		
13. Common attribution determination correct.					Х		
14. Minor child determination correct.					Х		
15. For a legal entity, such as a corporation, LLC, or LLP, were contributions of active personal labor and/or active personal management:					х		
 Performed on a regular basis 							
Identifiable and documentable							
 Separate and distinct from contributions of other partners, stockholders, or members? 							
16. For non-family joint operations did documentation support approval of additional members seeking to qualify more than one member with only a significant contribution of active personal management.					х		
accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agricult trainistering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based milyplarental status, income denved from a public assistance pased on poly to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by pri	race, color, olitical beliei ogram or inc	national o s, or repri ident.	rigin, religion, sex, gender ide sal or retaliation for prior civil	entity (including gender exp rights activity, in any progr	ression), s am or activ	sexual orientation, disability, age, marital statu rity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bas	
ersons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication t SDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact US an English.	or program DA through	informatio the Feder	n (e.g., Braille, large print, au al Relay Service at (800) 877	liotape, American Sign Lai -8339. Additionally, progra	nguage, et m informat	 c.) should contact the responsible Agency or ion may be made available in languages othe. 	
o file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program D rite a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the informa							

F Example of Completed CCC-900 Package (Continued)

Producer Name: Southland Partners					
	. Number of members of the farming operation claiming to make a significant contribution of active personal labor.				
	Number of members of the farming operation determined to have made a significant contribution of active personal contribution c			0	
19.	Number of members of the farming operation claiming to make a significant contribution of active personal mana	agement.		4	
20.	Number of members of the farming operation determined to have made a significant contribution of active personal significant contribution significant contribution significant contribution significant contribution significant contribution sign	nal manager	ment.		
		YES	NO	N/	
21.	"Actively engaged in farming" requirements met. If "YES", select the following factors or findings that explains how:				
	□ Land □ Capital □ Equipment □ Active personal labor □ Active personal management □ Landowner exemption	х			
22.	Cash-rent tenant rule met. If "YES", select the following factors or findings that explains how:				
	☐ Active personal labor ☑ Equipment ☑ Active personal management	х			
23.	Substantive change rule met. If "YES", select the following factors or findings that explains how:				
	☐ Family member ☐ Land rental change (landowner only; cash to share rent) ☐ Increase of base acres of 20 percent or more ☐ Ownership change of land or equipment by sale or gift to new member ☐ Addition of equipment to the farming operation			2	
24.	Were the initial determinations correct? If "YES", go to Item 28. If "NO", provide a detailed explanation of why not in Item 30.	х			
25.	If discrepancies were found, will the discrepancies result in an adverse determination? If "YES", go to Item 26. If "NO", provide a detailed explanation of why not in Item 30.				
26.	If discrepancies or adverse findings were made, did the findings include scheme or device, fraudulent representations, or other actions to circumvent payment eligibility or payment limitation provisions?				
27.	Total dollar amount of payments affected by the discrepancy or adverse findings.		\$		
28.	Did the producer provide the requested documentation to complete the review?	YES	□ NO		
29.	Is the review complete?	⊠ YES	□ NO		
Rev	Recommendations iew Team #5 recommends that the determinations of record be affirmed and that farmi 2014 program payments and benefits received subject to limitation.	ng operat	ion remains	eligi	
D.	Signature of Reviewing Authority or Review Team Member	E. Da	ate (MM-DD-Y		
/s/ ·	Tot Stoddard		9-9-15		

460-470 (Reserved)