## **USFS R3 – Southwestern Region**

## Engineering

#### **GIS Unit** Candace Bogart

# Remote Sensing Unit

### Photogrammetry Bart Matthews

### RS / Digital Processing Pete Joria



## **R3 Remote Sensing Unit**

### Main Projects:

## Midscale Existing Vegetation Mapping (2004 - )

### Pinaleños LiDAR Project (2008 - )



### **Midscale Existing Vegetation Mapping**

Develop geospatial data layers for vegetation composition and structure across all NFS lands in R3

 Cooperative effort between Forests/Grasslands and Regional Office (Engineering and Ecosytem Analysis & Planning staffs)

Key data element for Forest Plan Revision

Polygon-based, mid-scale (1:100,000) mapping



Reference Data/Sampling Coordination

Ecosystem Analysis

Coordination w/ Ongoing Inventories

> Program Management & Funding

Reference Data Analysis & Management

Image Processing

Data

Mining

Dominance

Types/Map Units

Project

**Coordination &** 

Documentation

Training

Ancillary Data Model Building/Classification

**Product Generation** 

PORemote Sensing

Reference Data Collection – Legacy Data Evaluation, Photo Interp, Field Data, Accuracy Assessment

sorest/Grasslan

Field Coordination

Data Entry

Map Feature Delineation (segmentation)

Image

Selection

### Vegetation classifications...



### Three separate map products...

### **DOMINANCE TYPE**

One-species types Two-species types One-genus types One-species/Onegenus types Two-genus types Mixed types 

 TREE / SHRUB CANOPY COVER

 Sparse - 0 - 9.9% CC (= GFB)

 Low - 10 - 29.9% CC

 Open - 30 - 59.9% CC

 Closed - 60 - 100% CC

## <u>SIZE CLASS</u>

*TREE* Seed/Sap < 5" Small 5 – 9.9" Medium 10 – 19.9" Very Large 20 – 29.9" Giant > 30" *SHRUB* Low < 0.5m Medium 0.5-1.9m Tall <u>></u> 2m

# **Remote Sensing Image Sources**

- Landsat Basis for image segmentation
  - Affordable, Available
  - Landscape level tool (30m resolution)
- Forest Resource Aerial photography
  - Available
  - Used by Forest staff to PI dom\_type, canopy cover and size classes
- Digital Orthophoto quads (DOQs)
  - A georegistered backdrop for segmentation, identify training areas
  - Available for all Forests, but B&W and outdated (1990's) compared to Landsat images



### Image interpretation

Identify relationships between training data and modeling variables for each class (using Definiens, Imagine, SEE5)

Spectral variablesBiophysical variables

-6 Landsat TM bands (both dates)
-MSAVI (Modified soil adjusted veg index)
-SI (Structural index - TM4/TM5)
-NIRM (TM4/TM7)
-Tasseled cap (both dates)
-Δ Tasseled cap (leaf-on to leaf-off)
-ND54 (Normalized difference TM5/TM4)
-ND75 (Normalized difference TM7/TM5)
-NBR (Normalized burn ratio TM4/TM7)
Plus others

-NDVI (Normalized difference veg index)

Elevation Slope/aspect combinations (insolation) Soils – TES data PNVT – TES data Precipitation data – PRISM, DAYMET Compound Topographic Index Heat load

### **R3 Mid-Scale Existing Vegetation Mapping Project Status**



### Pinaleños LiDAR Project (RSSC sponsored)

The objective is to map forest structure in the mixed-conifer and spruce-fir forests...



MGRS photo by Sarah R B King

... in part, to help balance:

Implementation and monitoring of the Pinaleño Ecosystem Restoration Project, PERP *with* Protection of Mt Graham Red Squirrel habitat

## **Mt. Graham International Observatory**



Large Binocular Telescope (2004)



### Vatican Advanced Technology Telescope (1993)

Heinrich Hertz Submillimeter Telescope (1993)







Photo by Dr. John L. Koprowski, University of Arizona

### Phase 1 (2008)

 Develop technical specifications for acquisition and deliverables on the Pinaleños project area

 Produce report documenting specs and providing guidance for similar projects

Acquire data

### Phase 1 Report

#### **Table of Contents**

#### PRACTICAL LIDAR-ACQUISITIC CONSIDERATIONS FOR FOREST APPLICATIONS



Remote Sensing Applications Center

Forest Service

Table 1-Example of minimum lidar data-acquisition specification guidelines for two projects: topographic mapping in open terrain and mapping and/or vegetation characterization in dense cover (McGaughey and others 2006, Reutebuch and McGaughey 2008).

	Topographic mapping in relatively open terrain (medium- resolution ground model: ≥2-meter grid))	a. Vegetation characterization b. Topographic mapping with dense vegetation cover or for high- resolution ground model ( < 1-meter grid)
Scan angle	$\leq \pm 20^{\circ}$ of nadir	≤±13° of nadir
Flying height (m)*	2,200	1,200
Pulse repetition frequency**	10 to 70 kHz	30 kHz to >100 kHz
Ground beam footprint	< 100 cm	Narrow setting, $\leq$ 30cm
Swath width*	1600m	500>→<800 m
Nominal aggregate pulse density (per sq. meter)	0.3–1	a. 3 to 8 b. > 4 (for some applications > 8)
Returns per pulse	Last	Minimum 2 (first [surface model] and last [for ground model]) > 4 for vegetation and structure modeling
Time of year	Leaf-off without snow cover	Depends on the project; no snow cover
Horizontal accuracy	25 cm	0.5 m
Swath-to-swath vertical accuracy	10 cm	15 cm
Vertical accuracy (in open areas)	15 cm	50 cm absolute accuracy; relative accuracy can be more important

\* These two parameters are influenced by aircraft and project requirements. They are interdependent as well as dependent on minimum scan angle and more. The values in the table are general guidelines only. Discussion between vendor and client will set the best values for these parameters.

\*\* Changes as instrument technology improves

Note: acquisition specification requirements for high-precision lidar measurements may surpass those for projects involving vegetation or dense cover.

### Statement of Work

#### 8. Deliverables:

Contractor shall provide the Deliverables no later than 60 calendar days after completion of flying.

#### Deliverables: data, reports, processing. media

	Report of survey	Text report that describes survey methods; results; vendor's accuracy assessments, including internal consistency and absolute accuracy; and metadata (.pdf, or .doc format).	
	Aircraft trajectories (SBET files)	Aircraft position (easting, northing, elevation) and attitude (heading, pitch, roll) and GPS time recorded at regular intervals of 1 second or less. May include additional attributes. (ASCII text or shapefile format)	
n	All-return point cloud	List of all valid returns. For each return: GPS week, GPS second, easting, northing, elevation, intensity, return #, retrurn classification. May include additional attributes. No duplicate entries. ASCII text and LAS version 1.1 format 1/64 <sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle (0.9375 minute by 0.9375 minute tiles).	
	Ground point list	List of X.Y.Z coordinate of all identified ground points. ASCII text. 1/4 <sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle (0.375 minute by 0.375 minute tiles).	
	Ground surface model	Raster of ground surface, interpolated via triangulated irregular network from identified ground points. No unavoidable point misclassification. ESRI floating point grid, 2m or 1 m cell size, snapped to (0,0). 1/4 <sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle (0.375 minute by 0.375 minute) tiles.	
	First-return (highest-hit) surface model	Raster of first-return surface, cell heights are highest recorded value within that cell, voids may be filled with ground surface model ESRI floating point grid, 2m or 1m cell size, snapped to (0,0), 1/4 <sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle (0.375 minute by 0.375 minute) tiles	
	Surface models sha shall be coded as N codes as NoData	all have no tiling artifacts and no gaps at tile boundaries. Areas outside survey boundary loData. Internal voids (e.g. open water, shadowed areas in forest return surface) may be	
	Intensity image	Geotiff, 1m pixel size, 1/4 <sup>th</sup> USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle (0.375 minute by 0.375 minute) tiles	
	Supporting shapefiles	A shapefile of the tiling tessellation (polygon feature) and a shapefile with the tracking of the individual flightlines and flightline swaths (line and polygon features)	
	Files shall conform	to a consistent naming scheme. Files shall have consistent internal formats.	
	GPS report	Report on GPS ground control processes including equipment used and location and duration of occupation of base stations	
	QA/QC statistics an	ics and reporting including fieldwork if any was performed	
	Descriptive file list	documenting the delivered files	
	Deliverable media	USB hard drive	

 Define acquisition area

- Potential acquisition problems
- Acquisition specs

Accuracy

Spatial ReferenceDeliverables

## Costs and Funding Estimates from seven vendors ranged from \$0.78 to \$4.24/acre 2008 Acquisition Funding – \$45K – Southwest Regional Office \$ 25K – Coronado NF \$ 35K – Forest Health Monitoring – Interior-West Region Total \$ 105K

### Pinaleños "Sky Island"

#### Safford, AZ

Coronado NF Safford RD Sept 2008 85,000 acres - \$105,000 \$1.24/ac

#### Legend

Forest Boundary LiDAR Acquisition area 7000 ft contour Data Acquisition and Delivery
 Contract awarded to Watershed Sciences in early August 2008
 Data was acquired between September 22<sup>nd</sup> and September 27<sup>th</sup>, 2008
 Data delivered early February 2008

NGOAND C

Phase 2 (2009)

Report documents: Quality Assurance of LiDAR data deliverables (USFS Fusion Catalog, USGS Consistency)

Processing of LiDAR point data to project area grids

(Phase 3) Link field plots and LiDAR grids to model forest structure

#### MAPPING VEGETATION STRUCTURE IN THE PINALEÑO MOUNTAINS USING LIDAR





## **SOUTHWESTERN REGION**

Pete Joria - Remote Sensing Bart Matthews - Photogrammetry





# Photogrammetry Unit

**Resource Aerial Photography** 

- Kaibab National Forest
  - 1:24,000 & 1:12,000 Photo Scales
  - 6" Focal Conventional Camera
  - ➤ RGB
  - ABGPS
  - 14 Micron scans
  - 1719 Linear Miles Flown
  - > 2509 square miles
  - Ordering 1' and 0.5' orthos from GSTC



#### Resource Aerial Photography

- Lincoln National Forest
  - 1:24,000 Photo Scale
  - 6" Focal Conventional Camera
  - ► RGB
  - > ABGPS
  - 14 Micron scans
  - > 1,223 linear miles flown
  - > 1,725 square miles
  - Ordering 1' orthos from GSTC



#### Contracting and Engineering Projects

- 5 year Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) for Mapping Services
- Kaibab Lake Campground Topographic Mapping
- Flagstaff Center Topographic Mapping
- Sycamore Canyon Topographic Mapping
- El Rito Campground Field Survey
- Apache Gravel Pit Topographic Mapping and Volume Calculations

C -- A&E - Mapping Services Notice Date 7/16/2009 Notice Type Presolicitation NAICS



#### **Other Projects**

- Large Scale Geodatabase Design Standards
- > 17 roll aerial film indexed and archived at the APFO
- Legacy photogrammetric project inventory geodatabase dating back to 1990
- Legacy ground control inventory geodatabase dating back to 1990
- 2008 NGA/USGS Border Mapping Orthophotography
- > 2009 NAIP of New Mexico Rollout
- 2005-6 NM CIR DOQQ Forest Lands completed and distributed
- > 2009 NM 10 meter DEM in review for deployment
- Communication Tower Sites Orthos for Prescott NF 2005 RO
- Scanning and rectifying B&W 1936 contact prints of the Lincoln NF
- Pre and Post Treatment Stands Pilot Studies Orthophotography