


For: County Offices

Multiple Use, Forage, NAP

Approved by: State Executive Director



1 Overview

A

Purpose

Oregon Notice NAP-14 clarified that producers were to choose the intention reported on FSA-578 that would be used for NAP purposes if the field is both hayed and grazed. For producers that choose mechanical harvest as the intention, the Oregon Notice also gave a procedure for determining a production amount that would have been appraised had we done the appraisal as intended by the National Office. The purpose of this Notice is to revise subparagraph 2A of Oregon Notice NAP-14.

2 Action

A

Forage Hay and Grazing in the Same Crop Year for 2001 NAP

Any producer that chooses mechanical harvest as the intention that did not receive a timely appraisal of the fall grazing will not have any grazed production included in the disaster year or the APH. Therefore, the loss will be determined by comparing the mechanically harvested APH (that excludes any grazed production) to the disaster year hay production. The procedure in Oregon Notice NAP-14, subparagraph 2A, page 2, that outlines a procedure for determining production by use of form CCC-451B is **NOT** authorized.

B

Forage Hay and Grazing in the Same Crop Year for 2002 NAP

Follow paragraph 193, 1-NAP, Rev 1, for determining when an appraisal is necessary. Understand that it is **NOT** possible to have two intentions for the same crop for the same field for the same crop year for NAP. Therefore, if the producer chooses mechanical harvested as the intended use, it will be necessary for the producer to request an appraisal of the fall grazing. Follow paragraph 426, 1-NAP, Rev 1 to determine if the appraisal requires a measurement service charge.

Disposal
June 28, 2002

Distribution
All Counties, DD's, COR and STO staff