The habitat quality of CP43: Prairie Strips and other conservation features for birds in Corn Belt agricultural landscapes

Lisa Schulte Moore^{1,*}, Jordan Giese¹, Matt Stephenson¹, Bob Klaver^{1,2}, with additional help from Cole Dutter¹, Matt Helmers¹, Jess Nelson¹, Marshall McDaniel¹, Matt O'Neal¹, John Tyndall¹, & Chris Witte¹ ¹Iowa State University, ²USGS Fish & Wildlife Cooperative Research Unit; *Contact: lschulte@iastate.edu Funding: USDA FSA 19CPT0010516, AG-3151-P-14-0065, AG-3151-P-16-0255, AG-3151-P-17-0108











United States Department of Agriculture

CRP-CLEAR PRAIRIE STRIP PRACTICE (CP-43) - DECEMBER 2019

The prairie strips practice establishes diverse perennial vegetation, oriented linearly within row crops fields. Prairie strips may not exceed 25% of the cropland area per tract and range from 30-120 feet in width. Machinery traffic is allowed on locations that replace turn rows on the perimeter of the field. Prairie strips reduce soil erosion, improve water quality and provide wildlife habitat.

How are Prairie Strips Different?

Allows a conservation planner to work with a client to establish perennial vegetation in locations to reduce erosion and intercept water flow, while making it farmable.

A combination of NRCS practice standards

- 327 Conservation Cover
- 332 Contour Grass Strip
- 386 Field Border
- 393 Filter Strip

Where can Prairie Strips be placed? In row crop production systems:

- · Around the field
- · Through the field
- In terrace channels
- Next to waterways
- Pivot corners

More Information

For more information, contact your local service center and USDA Farm Service Agency office: **farmers. gov/service-locator**.

Source: USDA Farm Service Agency



Catchment-scale research starting in 2007 at Neal Smith NWR (STRIPS1)

Catchments 1-9 acres in size Research funded by: Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture, National Science Foundation, USDA Forest Service, USDA-NIFA, USDA-SARE

Image: Jasper Co., Iowa; ISU, Anna MacDonald

Key Findings from STRIPS1 Research

Strategically adding 10% prairie to no-till corn-soy fields:

- 95% reduction in sediment loss^a
- 37% reduction in water runoff^a
- 77% reduction in phosphorus runoff and 70% reduction in nitrogen runoff^a
- 70% reduction in subsurface nitrate concentrations (not tiled) ^a
- 75% reduction in nitrous oxide emissions at footslope position^b
- 0.07 t/ac/yr increase in soil organic carbon or (in top 15 cm)^c
- More than triple pollinator and double bird abundance^a
- Influence on crop yield proportionate^{a,d}
- No additional weed problems in cropfields^e
- Cheaper, more flexible than terraces; cost comparable to cover crops^f

Sources: ^aSchulte et al. 2017; ^bIqbal et al. 2015; ^cDutter 2022; ^dDamiano & Niemi 2021; ^aHirsh et al. 2013; ^a Tyndall et al. 2013

Do the same benefits accrue on farmers' fields?

STRIPS2 research started in 2015

Research funded by: USDA FSA (19CPT0010516, AG-3151-P-14-0065, AG-3151-P-16-0255, AG-3151-P-17-0108), FFAR, USDA-NIFA Foundational (2015-67019-23002) and McIntire-Stennis Program (IOW05534), Walton Family Foundation, The McKnight Foundation,

Image: Wright Co., Iowa; Lynn Betts





Example STRIPS2 Paired Comparison Site



Soil Health & Carbon Dynamics



Siting, Establishment & Management



Water Quantity & Quality

Vertebrate Wildlife, esp. Grassland Birds



Market & Non-market Value





Pollinators & Monarch Butterfly



Grassland Bird Research Objectives

- 1. Compare **grassland bird density** in fields with prairie strips to other common on-farm grassy features
- 2. Compare **nest density** in prairie strips to other common on-farm grassy features
- 3. Compare **nest survival** across these feature types
- 4. Inform conservation planning

1. Bird Density Methods

- Bird Point Counts using distance estimation
- May July, 2015 2020

340 Meters

170

- 12 commercial farms
- Fields: Crops with prairie strips, grass strips, or 100% crops

control

mage: Wright Co.; ISU, Lisa Schulte Moore

1. Bird Density Data Summary

- 16,538 detections of 81 species
- 17 grassland species
- Most common: Red-winged Blackbird, Dickissel, and Common Yellowthroat







1. Bird Density



1. Bird Density





1. Bird Density





Treatment

Conventional crops
 Crops with grass strips
 Crops with prairie strips

1. Bird Density Grassland Birds by <u>Establishment Year</u>



2 & 3. Nest Density & Survival Methods

- May July, 2015 2019
- 11 commercial farms
- Double-observer plot searches in different on-farm vegetation types

Image: ISU, Matt Stephenson

Monitored until success/failure

2. Nest Density Data Summary

- 530 plot-years
- Plots searched weekly for a total of 9-12 times in a season
- 322 nests in plots
- 9 species of grassland-nesting passerines found in plots (*Species of Greatest Conservation Need, "SGCN")
 - 145 Red-winged blackbird
 - 126 *Dickcissel
 - 21 Vesper sparrow
 - 11 *Common yellowthroat
 - 10 *Meadowlark spp.
 - 6 American goldfinch
 - 4 *Grasshopper sparrow
 - 4 Song sparrow
 - 1 *Sedge wren







2. Nest density: Effect sizes





2. Nest density: Habitat configuration





Source: Stephenson 2022



2. Nest density: Habitat configuration



Source: Stephenson 2022







2. Nest density: Vegetation









2. Nest density: Vegetation



Source: Stephenson 2022

Nest location preference

Ratio of % cover variance explained by quadrat location (excluding ratios from 0.05 to -0.05)



3. Nest Survival Data Summary

- From 2015-2019 we located and monitored 1604 bird nests from 29 species on 11 sites in central lowa
- Nest data sets large enough to model DSR:
 - Red-winged blackbird (781 nests)
 - Dickcissel (304 nests)
- Grassland passerines (1269 nests)
 - Nine species
 - Five of which are state Species of Greatest Conservation Need



3. Nest survival Data Summary

- Red-winged blackbird (781)
- *Dickcissel (126)
- Vesper sparrow (67)
- *Common yellowthroat (48)
- *Meadowlark spp. (44)
- American goldfinch (16)
- *Grasshopper sparrow (6)
- *Sedge wren (3)
- Song sparrow (2)

- American robin (66)
- *Brown thrasher (33)
- Cedar waxwing (1)
- Chipping sparrow (2)
- Eastern bluebird (1)
- *Eastern kingbird (1)
- Gray partridge (1)
- Gray catbird (10)
- Killdeer (11)
- Lark sparrow (1)
- *Loggerhead shrike (1)
- Mallard (1)

- Mourning dove (3)
- Northern cardinal (3)
- Rose-breasted grosbeak (1)
- Ring-necked pheasant (15)
- Spotted sandpiper (3)
- *Upland sandpiper (7)
- Wild turkey (1)
- *Yellow-billed cuckoo (1)







3. Nest survival: Effect sizes









3. Nest survival: Habitat amount





3. Nest survival: Habitat configuration



Source: Stephenson 2022



3. Nest survival: Habitat configuration









Nest survival: Vegetation



Source: Stephenson 2022







3. Nest survival: Vegetation



Source: Stephenson 2022

4. Conservation Practice Design





4. Conservation practice estimates: Nest density

- Prairie contour strips compared to large patch prairie
- Prairie contour strips compared to grass contour strips







4. Conservation practice estimates: Nest survival

- Prairie contour strips compared to large patch prairie
- Prairie contour strips compared to grass contour strips
- Survival was very low in grass contour strips and terraces





4. Population sinks in Iowa?

- Previous studies estimated nest success rates necessary to sustain a population
 - Red-winged blackbirds > 27.6%
 - Dickcissels 22.9% < *s* < 29.7%
 - Eastern Meadowlark > 29.9 %
 - Common Yellowthroat > 19.5 %
 - Bobolink > 29.4%
- Also depends on fledgling and adult survival

- Our estimates of nest survival in 20 – 150 acre prairies were:
 - Grassland passerines = 13.5%
 (9.3 18.6%)
 - Red-winged blackbirds = 14.8% (9.1 – 21.9%)
 - Dickcissel = 23.6% (12.5 36.8%)

4. Grassland patches in Iowa



Source: Stephenson 2022

4. Summary of Grassland Bird Habitat Quality Predictors

- Habitat amount not a sufficient predictor on its own
 - Exception of Dickcissel nests had higher survival with more habitat amount
- Landscapes had higher nest densities with more patches, higher non-crop edge density, and more dense and diverse vegetation

- Nest survival was higher with:
 - Fewer patches
 - Larger patches
 - Higher edge densities
 - Greater distance from crop edge
 - Diverse vegetation
 - Dense vegetation
- Effects of habitat configuration are relative to habitat amount

4. Conservation Practice Design Considerations

- Fields with prairie strips have higher densities of grassland birds than those with grass contour strips, though areas sensitive birds largely absent
- Prairie strips had similar nest density and survival as large patch prairies
 - They are best when fewer, larger, located in more complex landscapes, and have more diverse vegetation
- Prairie strips had similar nest density to and higher nest survival than grass contour strips
- Low survival rates in grass contour strips and grassed terraces without concomitantly lower density likely makes them ecological traps

4. Conservation Practice Design Considerations

- Large patch prairie restorations are needed for area sensitive birds
- Probably, the larger the better for core prairie habitat areas
- Land acquisition opportunities and budgets are limited
- Prairie strips represent an improvement over low-diversity conservation practices
- The accessibility of the CP-43 represents a major opportunity to improve wildlife habitat across large areas while work continues on dedicated nature reserves

Soil Health & Carbon Dynamics



Siting, Establishment & Management



Water Quantity & Quality

Vertebrate Wildlife, esp. Grassland Birds



Market & Non-market Value



Received

Pollinators & Monarch Butterfly



Additional Key Findings from STRIPS2 Research

Strategically adding prairie strips to commercial farm fields:

- Multiple measures of soil health increase through time within prairie strips, but negligible effects on soil health in adjacent cropland soil^{a,b,c}
- Reduces sediment and nutrient concentrations in runoff water including:
 - Total suspended solids by 92% (annually and outside of primary growing season)^d
 - Total nitrogen by 90% (annually)^d
 - Total phosphorus by 90% and dissolved phosphorus by 88% (annually)^d
- Increases abundance, diversity of native pollinators^e; increases honey bee forage and productivity^f; does not increase bee exposure to insecticides^g
- Provide habitat for many grassland birds, but not for for snakes and lizards^h
- Are one of the most cost-effective conservation practices, especially when located on chronically unprofitable croplandⁱ
- lowans are willing to pay for the environmental benefits^j

Sources: ^aDutter 2022; ^bHenning 2022; ^cNelson 2022; ^dHelmers & Witte, unpublished data; ^eKordbacheh et al. 2020; ^fGe et al. 2021, Ge et al. In review; ^gHall et al. 2022, Hall et al. In review; ^bStephenson 2022; ⁱAudia et al. 2022, Bravard et al. 2022, Summers & Tyndall unpublished data; ^jKhanal et al. 2022



References

Audia, E, LA Schulte, JC Tyndall. 2022. Measuring changes in financial and ecosystems service outcomes with simulated grassland restoration in a Corn Belt watershed. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2022.</u> <u>959617</u>

Bravard, E, E Zimmerman, D James, JC Tyndall. 2022. The Agricultural Conservation Planning Framework Financial and Nutrient Reduction Tool: A planning tool for cost effective conservation. Journal of Environmental Quality 51: 670-682. https://doi.org/10.1002/jeq2.20345

Dutter, C. 2022. The spatial and temporal effects of prairie strip restoration on soil health. Ph.D. Dissertation, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa.

Hall, MJ, G Zhang, ME O'Neal, SP Bradbury, JR Coats. 2022. Quantifying neonicotinoid insecticide residues in milkweed and other forbs sampled from prairie strips established in maize and soybean fields. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 325: 107723. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2021.107723</u>

Hall, MJ, G Zhang, ME O'Neal, SP Bradbury, JR Coats. In review. Assessing pollinator habitat exposure to pesticides in Iowa USA agroecosystems. Agriculture Science and Technology.

Henning, Eric. 2022. Assessing the effects of embedding prairie strips within row crop fields on soil hydraulic properties and soil health. MS Thesis, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa. <u>https://dr.lib.iastate.edu/handle/20.500.12876/OrD8E0Yr</u>

Hirsh, SM, CM Mabry, LA Schulte, M Liebman. 2013. Diversifying agricultural catchments by incorporating prairie buffer strips. Ecological Restoration 31:201-211 <u>https://doi.org/10.3368/er.31.2.201</u>

Iqbal, J, TB Parkin, MJ Helmers, X Zhou, MJ Castellano. 2015. Denitrification and nitrous oxide emissions in annual croplands, perennial grass buffers, and restored perennial grasslands. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 79(1), 239-250.

Khanal, B, K Schoengold, T Mieno, LA Schulte. 2022. The impact of policy design on willingness to pay for ecosystem services from prairie strips. Journal of the Agricultural and Applied Economics Association 1: 352-369. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jaa2.33</u>

References, cont.

Kordbacheh, F, M Liebman, M Harris. 2020. Strips of prairie vegetation placed within row crops can sustain native bee communities. PLOS ONE. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240354</u>

Schulte, LA, JB Niemi, MJ Helmers, M Liebman, JG Arbuckle, DE James, RK Kolka, ME O'Neal, MD Tomer, JC Tyndall, H Asbjornsen, P Drobney, J Neal, G Van Ryswyk, C Witte. 2017. Prairie strips improve biodiversity and the delivery of multiple ecosystem services from corn-soybean croplands. PNAS 114 (42): 201620229. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1620229114</u>

Stephenson, M. 2022. The roles of habitat area, fragmentation, and vegetation diversity in bird and snake habitat quality in agricultural landscapes in Iowa, USA. PhD dissertation, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa.

Summers, H, E Zimmerman, E Bravard, D James, JC Tyndall. 2020. Application of new geospatial data and tools to inform spatially targeted conservation (poster). Soil and Water Conservation Society 75th International Annual Conference. July 27-29. Tyndall, JC, LA Schulte, M Liebman, MJ Helmers. 2013. Field-level financial assessment of contour prairie strips for enhancement of environmental quality. Environmental Management 52:736-747

Zhang, G, C Murray, C Randall, A St. Clair, A Dolezal, LA Schulte, A Toth, ME O'Neal. In review. Native habitat embedded in landscapes dominated by corn and soybean iproves honey bee health and productivity. Journal of Applied Ecology.

Zhang, G, AL St. Clair, AG Dolezal, AL Toth, ME O'Neal. 2021. North American Prairie is a source of pollen for managed honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae). Journal of Insect Science 21: 1-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieab001</u>.