UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Farm Service Agency Washington, DC 20250

For: FFAS Offices

FFAS Purchase Card FY 2012 Local Agency Program Coordinator (LAPC) and Agency Program Coordinator (APC) Review Guidance

Approved by: Acting Associate Administrator for Operations and Management

Jony R. Esting

1 Overview

A Background

According to requirements in OMB Circular A-123, Appendix B, APC Annual Purchase Card Program Reviews are due by December 15 following the close of FY. Standardized forms are available to facilitate the annual review process to determine the effectiveness of the program, ensure compliance and adequate oversight, and to detect cardholder misuse and/or fraud.

LAPC's shall conduct random quarterly reviews of cardholder's transactions to ensure that LAPC's perform sufficient management and oversight, including a broad look at current cardholder activity.

APC's shall notify the Charge Card Service Center (CCSC) of 100 percent receipt of LAPC quarterly reviews by e-mail and complete FY-end reviews by **December 15, 2012**.

B Purpose

This notice provides guidelines for completing the **required** quarterly reviews for FY 2012. The primary objectives of the reviews are to assess all of the following:

- adequacy of internal and management controls to help prevent fraud, waste, and abuse
- compliance with laws and regulations
- efficiency of operations.

This notice applies to all FFAS LAPC's and FFAS APC's.

Disposal Date	Distribution
January 1, 2013	All FAS, FSA, and RMA Offices; State Offices relay to County Offices

1 Overview (Continued)

C Contacts

Direct questions about this notice according to the following.

IF there are questions about	THEN contact
the purchase card program	either of the following:
review process	
	• Sheryl Welch, APC, by either of the following:
	• e-mail to sheryl.welch@kcc.usda.gov
	• telephone at 816-926-6108
	• LaVerne Walker, APC, by either of the following:
	• e-mail to laverne.walker@wdc.usda.gov
	• telephone at 202-720-4044.
procurement policy	Yvonne Howerton, Chief Contracts Operations Branch (COB),
	by either of the following:
	• e-mail to yvonne.howerton@wdc.usda.gov
	• telephone at 202-690-5401.

2 Policy

A LAPC Quarterly Review Requirements

Reviews should be a mix of an overview of transaction information in US Bank Access Online (AXOL), an AXOL Transaction Detail report, and a physical review of the actual documentation (either the original documents or scanned/FAXed copies). LAPC's shall request from the cardholder, a random sampling of no less than 10 transactions that need physical review. More physical reviews may be requested if LAPC feels that 10 samples will **not** be enough to conduct a thorough review of the cardholder's activity. This random sampling **must** include **all** convenience check transactions up to a minimum of 50 percent of all transaction sampling, if the cardholder is a convenience check writer. LAPC should focus his or her physical sampling on questionable transactions that may appear on the Transaction Detail reports, convenience checks transactions, and card transactions.

Examples: Cardholder A wrote 6 convenience checks for the first quarter review period and there are **no** questionable transactions on the report. LAPC will request a minimum of 10 samples for physical review with at least 5 of those samples being convenience check documentation.

Cardholder B wrote 2 convenience checks for the same review period and LAPC is questioning 3 transactions on the report. LAPC will request a minimum of 10 samples for physical review that will include the 3 questionable transactions, 2 convenience check samples, and then random card transactions. LAPC should focus on transactions that appear questionable, if applicable, on his or her reports.

2 **Policy (Continued)**

A LAPC Quarterly Review Requirements (Continued)

LAPC's will review 25 percent of their cardholders each quarter, ensuring review of transactions from 100 percent of the total accounts LAPC manages over the course of a year.

Note: This requires that the 25 percent of cardholder accounts be reviewed each quarter vary and be a unique list that totals a 100 percent review of cardholder accounts for each LAPC by the end of each FY.

The purpose of the reviews is to take a broad look at the cardholder's activities and a detailed look at specific transactions, including randomly selected transactions and/or questionable transactions.

Using Quarterly Review Checklist (Exhibit 1), LAPC's shall report the results of their reviews to their APC by the end of the second month following the close of each quarter. **No** data elements on Exhibit 1 shall be altered or removed. LAPC will e-mail or FAX **only** the completed Exhibit 1 to APC by the following required dates. LAPC's shall retain a copy of Exhibit 1 along with any supporting physical documentation at their office and follow standard guidelines for record keeping and disposal of this data.

	LAPC Quarterly Review Schedule				
Quarter Reviewed	Period	Due Date to APC			
1	October through December	February 29			
2	January through March	May 31			
3	April through June	August 31			
4	July through September	November 30			

If there are signs of misuse, abuse, and/or fraud, LAPC will coordinate with the administrative officer to conduct an investigation of the transactions and request transaction documentation from the cardholder. LAPC shall contact APC for appropriate guidance with any necessary administrative action.

B APC Quarterly and Yearly Report Requirements

APC shall notify CCSC of 100 percent receipt of LAPC's quarterly reviews by e-mail to **ccsc@dm.usda.gov**.

Reviews will be conducted quarterly, with an e-mail sent to CCSC after each quarterly review advising 100 percent compliance. A summary report (Exhibit 2) shall be prepared following the close of FY. APC shall complete the FY-end review (Exhibit 2) by **December 15, 2012**, and e-mail the completed Exhibit 2 to CCSC to **ccsc@dm.usda.gov**.

2 Policy (Continued)

C Additional Resources

Additional resources are as follows:

- the USDA CCSC Purchase Card, web site at http://www.dm.usda.gov/procurement/ccsc/pc_guides_ref.htm provides a:
 - manual, "Coordinators Reporting Manual for Management and Oversight"
 - video, "Purchase Card Training on How to Run Reports in AXOL" (16:04 minutes)
 - training video transcripts, as follows:
 - "Report Guidelines 12/16/09"
 - Coordinators Purchase Card Program Guide (Sept 2010)
 - "PCard-12-2009: Revised Check Procedures"
- a link to forms is at http://www.dm.usda.gov/procurement/ccsc/forms.htm.

Additional resources available to APC's and LAPC's for review and oversight of their card programs are:

- GSA Oversight Manual, "Blueprint for Success: Purchase Card Oversight", at http://www.gsa.gov/graphics/fas/Blueprint_For_Success_PurchaseCard_Oversight. pdf
- President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency (PCIE) handbook, "A Practical Guide for Reviewing Government Purchase Card Programs", at http://www.ignet.gov/pande/ie/credit-cards-06-002.pdf.

LAPC Quarterly Review Checklist

Cor	npleted by:		Dat	e:
Sub	mitted to:		F/Y	Covered:
		(APC Name)		
Are	a/ Location:			
		Quarter Reviewed:	For the Months of:	Due Date to APC:
		Q1	October – December	February 28
		Q2	January – March	May 31
		Q3	April – June	August 31
		Q4	July – September	November 30
			Requested	Number #
1.			ders at end of Quarter?	
~			#1 above, how many were r	eviewed?
2.		of total # of cardholders in	<i>ers)</i> #1 above, how many are no	longer under
		account/supervision? (
	In addition, ple LAPCs should employees.		e cards are issued to currer	nt USDA
	. If any dholdon			
3	• If caranolaer the account is		employee, LAPCs should co.	nfirm that
3.	the account is Of the number	s <i>closed</i> . of cardholders reviewe	employee, LAPCs should con d in #2 above, how many of	
<u>3.</u> 4.	the account is	s <i>closed</i> . of cardholders reviewe		
	the account is Of the number of convenience ch	s <i>closed</i> . of cardholders reviewe	d in #2 above, how many of	
4.	the account is Of the number of convenience ch What is the tota How many tota	s closed. of cardholders reviewe ecks? I number of transaction I transactions were rev	d in #2 above, how many of ns for the Quarter? iewed in AXOL?	î them have
<u>4.</u> 5.	the account is Of the number of convenience ch What is the tota How many tota Of the total tran convenience ch	s closed. of cardholders reviewe ecks? I number of transaction transactions were rev sactions reviewed in A eck transactions?	d in #2 above, how many of ns for the Quarter? iewed in AXOL? XOL in #6 above, how man	î them have
4. 5. 6.	the account is Of the number of convenience ch What is the tota How many tota Of the total tran convenience ch Of all of the tra of documentatio	s closed. of cardholders reviewe ecks? I number of transaction transactions were rev sactions reviewed in A eck transactions? Insactions reviewed in a on?	d in #2 above, how many of ns for the Quarter? iewed in AXOL? XOL in #6 above, how man #6 above, how many include	Them have The have
4. 5. 6. 7.	the account is Of the number of convenience ch What is the tota How many tota Of the total tran convenience ch Of all of the tra of documentatio Of the convenience	s closed. of cardholders reviewe ecks? I number of transaction transactions were rev sactions reviewed in A eck transactions? Insactions reviewed in a on?	d in #2 above, how many of ns for the Quarter? iewed in AXOL? XOL in #6 above, how man	Them have The have

LAPC Quarterly Review Checklist (Continued)

	Data elements that must be reported to OMB:	Number #
	Number of cases reported to OIG or coordinator for possible card misuse	
1.	and/or abuse.	
2.	Number of administrative and/or disciplinary actions taken for card misuse.	
	Number of administrative and/or disciplinary actions taken for convenience	
3.	check misuse.	

LAPCs shall review transactions for compliance with acquisition regulations and with direction given in DR 5013-6 and the *Coordinator's Purchase Card Program Guide*, with particular emphasis on the following areas:

Area of Transaction Review	No. of Occurrences (if applicable)	Comments and/or Administrative Action(s) Taken
Improper purchases (examples listed below) (For a more detailed list, see <i>Section 9</i> of the <i>Coordinator's Purchase Card Program Guide</i> .)		
 Split purchases Unauthorized purchases Personal use Other 	nger dans er son en ser er son en spre Mayer, a sone	
 Accountability – for example: Complete & timely reconciliation/approval in AXOL Timely final approval by AO Other 		
Proper use of Convenience checks – for example:	an a	
 Pre-approval over \$2500 Check is not written to self, for cash, to VISA merchant, or to large business 		
Other Use of required sources of supply Other		

,

LAPC Quarterly Review Checklist (Continued)

Additional Findings, Comments, or Recommendations						
		(optional)	0			
						1000
		•				
]
1	-ffinne that all ! f	mation marrie - 1	on this forms in t	we and account-		
h my signature below, I	affirm that all infor	mation provided	on this form is t	rue and accurate.		

Ager	icy:	l Covered	:	
APC	Name:			
	l No. of holders for the Agency:	Total M e Agency:	lo. of AOs	
Fota LAP	1 No. of			
	Data R	equested	$\frac{1}{p}$	Number #
1.	Total No. of cases reported to OIG/APC for po	ossible card misus	e and/or abuse	;
2.	Total No. of administrative and/or disciplinary	actions taken for	card misuse	
3.	Total No. of administrative and/or disciplinary	v actions taken for	convenience of	check misuse
No. I.	Specific Review Criteria LAPC Quarterly Reports 1 st Quarterly Review Submitted (October-	Yes No		Comments/ Notes
	December due February 28)			
2.	2 nd Quarterly Review Submitted			
2 . 3.	2 nd Quarterly Review Submitted (January-March due May 31) 3 rd Quarterly Review Submitted			
	2 nd Quarterly Review Submitted (January-March due May 31) 3 rd Quarterly Review Submitted (April-June due August 31) 4 th Quarterly Review Submitted			
3.	2 nd Quarterly Review Submitted (January-March due May 31) 3 rd Quarterly Review Submitted (April-June due August 31)	ments, or Recom	mendations ((optional)
3.	2 nd Quarterly Review Submitted (January-March due May 31) 3 rd Quarterly Review Submitted (April-June due August 31) 4 th Quarterly Review Submitted (July-September due November 30)	ments, or Recom	mendations	(optional)
3.	2 nd Quarterly Review Submitted (January-March due May 31) 3 rd Quarterly Review Submitted (April-June due August 31) 4 th Quarterly Review Submitted (July-September due November 30)			
3. I.	2 nd Quarterly Review Submitted (January-March due May 31) 3 rd Quarterly Review Submitted (April-June due August 31) 4 th Quarterly Review Submitted (July-September due November 30) Additional Findings, Com			